



Monitoring and evaluating the FTI compact

EFA-FTI mid-term evaluation

Stakeholder consultation meeting

8 December 2009, Paris

Outline

- FTI monitoring arrangements
 - Guiding documents
 - Activities
- Assessment
- FTI M&E framework
- Proposed FTI M&E framework
- Discussion

FTI M&E arrangements

- Guiding documents set ambitious agenda in line with *managing for development results* principle
 - 2002 Action Plan [= data gap]
 - 2004 FTI Framework [=mutual learning]
- Data availability at global level
 - Indicative Framework
 - EPDF (Objective 1) and Catalytic Fund
- Data use at country level
 - ESP appraisal process
 - EPDF (Objective 3)
- Data use by FTI partnership
 - Reporting
 - Monitoring of inputs, outputs and outcomes

FTI M&E assessment

- Data availability at global level
 - Improvements expected by individual partners; role of FTI partnership unlikely to contribute to coverage/quality
 - Even so: insufficient advocacy for education statistics; monitoring of IF indicators not systematic
- Data use at country level
 - Limited progress in data use at country level and FTI role minor (acknowledging complexity of capacity building).
 - Appraisal process had limited effects on M&E: LDG and LEG weak in data use and not supported
- Data use by FTI partnership
 - Weakly resourced Secretariat affected quality of reporting
 - Two core FTI assumptions (compact and IF) not monitored and therefore not evaluated

Did FTI have M&E framework? (1)

- According to the GRPP Sourcebook (2007 ¶2.6):
A key enabling condition for effective evaluation is early establishment of an M&E framework with:
- (a) clear and coherent objectives and strategies
 - (b) an expected results chain
 - (c) measurable indicators that meet the monitoring and reporting needs of the governing body and management, and
 - (d) systematic and regular processes for collecting and managing data, including baseline data
- ▶ FTI launched *before* consensus on objectives

Did FTI have M&E framework? (2)

- In the absence of M&E framework, the evaluation team developed *logical framework* looking back to interpret actions
- FTI partnership needs M&E framework looking forward that covers the following:
 - Narrative statements of goal/impact, purpose/outcomes, outputs and activities
 - Indicators and sources
(implicitly also addressing data collection processes)
 - Baselines, milestones and targets
 - Assumptions

Proposed FTI M&E framework (1)

1. Organised as logical framework
2. **Basis for discussion** among FTI partners:
to be reviewed extensively and amended by partners to reflect what the partnership believes it should achieve
3. Focus on **monitoring of FTI partnership** (with particular emphasis on activities and outputs);
not a framework for monitoring country EFA performance
4. Monitor **FTI eligible countries**, not just countries endorsed by FTI

Proposed FTI M&E framework (2)

5. Focus on **global-level M&E**: assumes that FTI Secretariat would be responsible for coordination
6. *Country-level M&E* is also needed to focus on hard-to-observe aspects of the compact e.g. monitoring of the donor and country commitments to finance ESP
7. Effort made to include **indicators** that are feasible to measure but in several cases extra effort will be needed

Next steps

- Process should help reach agreement on: what exactly the partnership attempts to accomplish; whether selected activities will help accomplish targets; what are implications (finance, FTI Secretariat, sharing of responsibilities)
- ▶ New constitutional document for FTI to supersede 2004 Framework, country-level processes guide, governance document and also capture final version of M&E framework

Discussion

- ...
 - ...
 - ...
 - ...
 - ...
- ...