GLOBAL PARTNERSHIP *for* EDUCATION

Independent Evaluation of the GPE

Presented by:
Karen Mundy, Professor & Associate Dean
University of Toronto

Background and Key Issues

Preliminary goals of the drafting team:

- Revise the evaluation framework proposed in the PROMAN report, drawing on global best practice.
- Develop a concept note for the evaluation and the TORS for an independent steering group.

Key issues to address today:

1. Purpose, Design & Scope
2. Cost
3. Timing

Two overarching evaluation questions

1. **Development effectiveness:**

   Has the GPE added value at the national and global levels, in the achievement of its strategic objectives and goals?

2. **Organizational effectiveness**

   Are the governance and management arrangements adequate for achieving the GPE's objectives and goals?

	Organizational Effectiveness	Development Effectiveness
Question	Are the governance and management arrangements adequate for achieving the GPE's objectives and goals?	Has the GPE added value at the national and global levels, achieving its strategic objectives and goals?
Global Level	Relevance/Effectiveness/Efficiency: •Secretariat, its activities and programs of financing •Governance arrangements •Global Partnership Environment	Outcomes: More resources, aligned and targeted to meet GPE goals and objectives.
Country level	Relevance/Effectiveness/Efficiency: •Local Partnership environment, LEG. •Different Management and Supervisory Entities. •Secretariat's technical and financial support to sector plan and its implementation.	Impact on 4 GPE strategic goals and 5 objectives: 1.Fragile/conflict affected states 2.Girls education 3.Enhanced numeracy/literacy 4.Teacher effectiveness 5.Expanded funding available

Purpose, Design & Scope

Key Issues:

- **Formative or summative** (or both)?
- **Time period** to focus on (FTI/ post FTI)?
- **How many country field studies**?
- **What level of stakeholder engagement and consultation?**
- **Potential for rigorous impact evaluation** (e.g. testing impacts by reference to counterfactuals)? – vs. more common objectives based evaluation design.

Cost

What is a reasonable cost for the evaluation and the Independent Steering Committee?

Comparisons*:*

a) Joint Donor Evaluation of Basic Education (2002)

$1.2 million, 4 country studies.

b) FTI Evaluation (2010)

3.1 million for 9 field studies + 8 desk studies. Plus steering committee costs.

c) Climate Investment Fund (ongoing):

$1.8 million, plus $350k for steering committee.

Potential for Revised Timeline

- Experience from other similar scale global evaluations suggests an 18 month period needed from TORs to final draft of the evaluation.
- Consider Board Evaluation sub-committee to speed up the finalization of the TORs, approval of the contractor and finalization of the inception report and design.
- ISC recommends speeding up the evaluation to avoid the use of interim findings for decision-making.



GLOBAL PARTNERSHIP *for* EDUCATION

Visit our website:
www.globalpartnership.org