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INTRODUCTION

The current version of the strategy owes a great deal and relies heavily on the document “National Pre-university education development Strategy”, already formally approved by the Albanian Government in June 2004.

The major changes of this strategy, if compared with the previous document consist largely in:

- A new chapter on vocational education has been inserted and elaborated upon;
- There is a special part in it devoted considerably to the private education service;
- Those sentences making any close or deliberate reference to any particular government or any viewpoints expressly stated by it have been crossed out.

The National Strategy on pre-university education is a fruit that has been arrived at by the considerable efforts spanning several years being made by both the Albanian specialists and their foreign counterparts. It is the common ground of work for our teachers and managers with high stakes in education. Thus we show our deep and heartfelt appreciation of their engagement in this deep endeavor.

A deep gratitude is extended to the highly prestigious international institutions, in particular to the World Bank for the irreplaceable assistance they have rendered to development of this strategy. Their efforts are underway also in its implementation stages.

This Strategy for the most part is elevated to a national platform, within which all of the stakeholders are invited to share in their invaluable contributions. It crowns one of the more serious attempts being made at engaging an intensely vocational audience, at establishing an all inclusive dialogue and nurturing good understanding and faith among the various actors.

The Strategy is intended to guarantee a modern national education system, which will have to promote and instigate the sustainable growth of economy and consolidation of democracy, as well as the success of dialogue and competition with the countries of the region and beyond.

This document has been maintained together after plenty of broad and intensive consultation process with the various stakeholders such as teachers, education managers, parents, pupils, NGOs, teachers’ unions, and high brow people etc.
The draft NES is structured into two major parts.

- PART A identifies the priority areas that need to be addressed for the development of pre-university education in Albania. For these areas, it outlines the strengths and weaknesses within the MOES and indicates possible actions designed to enhance the strengths and eliminate the weaknesses. These discussions are guided by international best principles and practices in education development as exemplified in Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and EU countries. There are four key or priority issues identified in PART A, focusing on the governance and managing of education, improving the quality of teaching and learning, financing education and developing the capacity to implement the NES.

- PART B operationalizes the key or priority issues in PART A by specifying for each issue the objectives and their associated beneficiaries, monitoring indicators, risks and assumptions and general timelines in the form of policy matrices. This information is extracted from the narrative descriptions of the respective priority areas in PART A and hence is summarized as four separate matrices.

- PART C maps out the implementation plan and provides timelines and sequence of activities noted in sections A and B. The information is structured along the 4 priority areas and each area has been dealt with separately\(^1\).

- PART D presents a summary of cost estimates for implementing the National Education Strategy. The estimates are based on units costs aggregated from a range of sources such as the MOES, the World Bank education sector project and NGO’s.

- PART E present the Resource input matrix and the assumptions used to map and calculate the estimate costs.

\(^1\) The National Strategy of Education is a document at the macro level and, as such, it does not taken upon itself to treat any details at the micro level of all the activities and procedures related to it. In the process of implementing such a strategy a set of complementing projects will be drafted.
PART A

PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT ISSUES FOR PRE-UNIVERSITY EDUCATION

A1 GOVERNANCE: REFORMING AND STRENGTHENING MANAGEMENT CAPACITY

The implementation of a highly centralized management model in the governance of the country in general and in the sector of general services brings about the decrease of the effectiveness and efficiency of the public administration. While the formal restructuring, that is decentralization as such, has made considerable progress in several sectors, including the education sector, the decentralization rate of responsibilities and of the decision-making authority at the central level down to the local one in this sector have not been satisfactory particularly in the case of pre-university education.

A.1.1 Reforming the Central Office.

While a restructuring of the Central Office has been done thanks to the assistance of the World Bank, it’s worth noting that several steps have been taken in establishing and organizing the second level (Regional Educational Departments (RED) and Educational Offices (EO), yet the subsequent challenge rising up is concerned with the functioning of a performance-based management (PBM) system at all levels of the educational governance. This together with greater clarity of job roles will define individuals’ task and associated levels of performance. Included in the performance management reform will be procedures to ensure the maintenance of a minimum level of performance and also appeals provisions to ensure equity and fairness. Transparency will also be increased by the system having multiple points for reporting and auditing enhancing in so doing the transparency and its activities.

In the short term the Central Office will be operating on a PBM model of management with clearly defined roles and responsibilities. This initiative will then flow through to the regional offices, affiliate institutes and centers and communes and schools. The PBM model will be used to encourage greater individual responsibility for self development and innovation.

A.1.2 Decentralization

Decentralization in the education sector will ensure the expansion of participation and the increase of effectiveness of the stakeholders in holding on to the education projects and their subsequent successful implementation.
At present a detailed project on decentralization is held to be a significant support to the tremendous success of its implementation. According to it, MOES in consultation with the other line ministries and support from international agencies will devolve authority, responsibility and accountability for education governance to regional offices, communes and schools.

The implementation platform is designed to achieve:

- Sharing of functional responsibilities in the education sector by means of the governmental levels;
- Identifying the right and proper conditions to increase responsibility on the functions to decentralized from the central level down to the school level.

This process will be made possible through a careful and meticulous balance to be struck between centralization and decentralization, in itself to be accompanied by awareness on the risks and the chances of success.

A.1.3 School Autonomy

Under the decentralized system, schools are required to take increased responsibility for planning and managing the development of the services they deliver along with the associated quality improvement procedures. The current organizational system and personnel have limited capacity to do this as, up to this point, parents and local communities have had little input into the activities of the schools and hence do not claim ownership of the development and maintenance of the quality of services provided by the schools. The successful realization of the school autonomy involves changes to be made to some parts of the legislation. This will involve changing legislation to allow new practices and procedures to be implemented and supported as the current legislation does not encourage the participation of parents or school directors to take initiative to be innovative and improve conditions in their schools.

The grant scheme destined for schools, as the key ingredient of school autonomy will favor building capacities at the school and regional levels to engage in budget planning etc. This capacity will be developed progressively moving across three levels. It is acknowledged that the progress will vary and this continuous support will be provided during the next ten years. Support will also be harnessed through forming clusters of small schools that will share successful strategies in the move toward autonomy. These schools will pilot their new experiences so that they may serve as a model for the decentralization of education.

A.1.4 Educational Management Information System

Having inadequate data is a major obstacle hindering the development of well informed policies and procedures which are designed to improve the quality and efficiency of the education system. With assistance from the WB, the PAPD Department has been established to act as the key MOES unit for data analysis and policy making.
This unit needs to work in conjunction with INSTAT to plan the types of data that should be collected and levels at which collection should take place. The WB project has also supported the development of an Educational Management Information System (EMIS) to assist the PAPD in the achievement of these tasks.

EMIS is not just about getting data onto a computer; rather it provides the tool for conceptual research and the analytical investigation of the collected data. The system should empower people at different levels in the system with the capacity to disaggregate economic, infrastructure, social (including minority groups) and educational data in terms of rural/urban and central, regional, district, municipal and school levels.

_The unit responsible for collecting and processing information should be made robust in order to boost its own activities in several directions:_

- The information, to be collected, should represent a unique system of data with regard to the nomenclature and the extent of processing as such;
- The nomenclature of data, to be collected, should be a responsibility resting with MOES and should receive the blessing or consent of the highest levels;
- Reliability and the completion of such an information should be fully confirmed through the institutional cooperation to be established and retained with INSTAT;
- The information collected should be considered to be an institutional property to be placed at the service of the system.

**A.1.5 Reporting and Quality Assurance**

Quality Assurance of the services requires a fundamental philosophical shift, which is not only concerned with policy-making as such, but also with the monitoring QA should have both internal and external elements. Internal QA can be used by both individuals and organizational units. It provides immediate feedback and formative evaluation outcomes and is a powerful tool which needs to be utilized more. To complement the self-audit, there has to be periodic external monitoring (External QA) which will provide a national and international comparisons of the quality of performance of education planners, administrators etc. The dual system of internal and external QA mechanisms will need to be built into the management system and will need to have multiple pathways for reporting and auditing to increase transparency. The dual monitoring of school performance creates the possibility of not only undertaking effective improvement actions in the form of assistance from specialized local and national units but also bringing to account those responsible. If a school fails to achieve its standards and student achievement objectives which are specified and defined in the school’s development plans, the whole school management support team
is responsible and, for example, the Local Government could limit the functions delegated to the school and disband the school board. Currently, the inspectorate is being reformed to operate at two levels (central and local) for the pre-university education system.

A.2 IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF THE TEACHING AND LEARNING PROCESS

Right after the changes affecting the political-economic system, the governmental initiatives have been directed towards a market-driven economy and democratic and civil society as well as the installation of the market economy.


The fulfillment of such lofty goals requires at all costs the reforming of the education system which could be turned into a back-up system to carry forwards the initiatives laid down. Central to the reform is the quality of the teaching and learning processes. The current teaching and learning processes and the pre-university education curriculum were last reviewed in 1983. Since then there have been very little if any changes made.

To increase the average level of education completed by its citizens the Government of Albania is implementing a new structure for pre-university education, a three level 5 (primary) + 4 (basic) + 3 (secondary) grade structure. Free and compulsory education will occur up to Grade 9 (previously it was up to Grade 8). Adopting this structure will bring the Albanian education system in line with other countries in the Balkan region as well as those in the EU and OECD.

The early levels (5 + 4) will concentrate on basic literacy and numeracy along with civic education and healthy living skills, providing the basis for developing the knowledge and skills for a democratic society and a knowledge society. The secondary level will build on the basic level education and develop the knowledge and skills necessary for everyday life in a market-driven and democratic world. It will have two pathways, one that leads directly into academic professions and one that will allow students to develop vocational skills necessary to become productive members of the society when they leave secondary school.

A.2.1 Curriculum Development

National Curriculum Framework

The MOES began working on curricula reform in 1994. While there has been some work done in this regard, there is still a considerable amount of conceptualization
and curriculum development work yet to be accomplished in areas such as developing and adopting a national curriculum framework and national policies.

The dependent structures such as the ICS, CTCE and NECEE, which are funded and having as a reference any goals written up and defined by MOES, are considered to be the cogs of a single wheel in improving quality of the educational services.

Under the circumstances, MOES aside from monitoring and closely evaluating the work done, should see to the development of the accountability in the organizational units, of the policies for reporting and monitoring, and of the quality of curriculum development output indicators etc.

Finally, there is an urgent need to make curriculum development a full-time and ongoing profession to ensure the adoption of continuous improvement principles in the education development and management process.

**Rationalization of Subjects**

There is a need to develop a curriculum that focuses on the knowledge, skills and attributes needed by children to function in a free market economy and a democratic society. This requires a shift from the current collection of isolated subjects to an approach that is focused on key learning outcomes. The curriculum experts need to explore new subject options and/or the integration of subjects to meet the demand for the new knowledge and skills.

**Content Articulation / Vertical and Horizontal Integration**

One of the reasons for the high student drop-out rate has been the lack of interest in pre-university education\(^2\). In light of this, there is a need to align the current curriculum content and processes with the emerging needs and aspirations of students and parents. Authentic and integrated learning activities that reflect everyday life experience should be included. Integrating subjects for example will not only facilitate the possibility of making the learning more relevant but also reduce the number of separate textbooks that may be necessary, addressing one of the major costs impacting on pre-university education.

The current student workload is high compared to OECD guidelines and benchmarks. The curriculum reform should consider the quality of learning rather than the quantity, allowing more time for student to experiment, research, reflect and synthesize information.

**Structure of the Pre-university Education Curriculum**

Changing the structure of the pre-university system into 5+4+3 (5 years of basic education, 4 year of lower secondary education and 3 years of upper

---

\(^2\) (The Living Standards Measurement Survey [LSMS], 2002)
secondary education) dictates reviewing the curricula frame in order to provide responses to issues that arise with our time and age and to bring it closer and closer to the systems of countries in the regions and in the countries of EU.

Within this structure, the curriculum needs to reflect a clear vertical integration of concepts and eventual articulation with university programs. The use of electives needs to be care-fully planned to provide the gradual development of specializations.

**Student Choice and Inclusive Curriculum**

The rapid inner developments and the ever growing demand to join the European community bring to the fore the ever urgent need to increase the flexibility in drafting and designing the curriculum so that schools and local authorities can customize the curriculum to make it relevant to local circumstances or long-running tradition. Appropriate policies guiding the use of electives and subject clusters can provide that flexibility. In these areas of student choice and inclusion, while the curriculum framework and national standards are set centrally by the MOES, the school boards and local governments need the flexibility for example to approve the school curriculum policy, and prioritize specific curriculum projects in school grant applications.

**Non-public education**

The permission granted in favor of opening up private-owned schools in 1995, heralded a steady rise in their number and an expansion of their services at such a moderate pace, that with the year 2004 in mind, the private sector to date by and large encompasses: 5 % of children at the pre-school education level, 3, 7 % of pupils at the pre-university education (3 % in basic education, 6 % in the general secondary education and 7 % in the vocational secondary education) and 2 % of students. At the same time it’s worth noting that several education services such as the publication, printing and dissemination/distribution of school textbooks as well as construction, rehabilitation and maintenance of school buildings or facilities have already undergone the privatization process.

The attendance of such schools by a substantial number of pupils does not necessarily happen because of any offer at all in terms of subjects contained within the curriculum package, since any changes that set them apart from the public schools are largely confined to the inclusion of the informatics subject or the learning of a foreign language at an earlier stage as well as the learning of another additional or complementary language. The attendance of such schools is deemed to be more as a counterbalance against the low quality level prevailing at state-owned schools. This reality tips the balance in favor of private schools owing to the small number of pupils per class, better didactical and reference resources and rich equipments in laboratories, and better qualified teaching personnel carefully selected and recruited by such schools. Nonetheless there is not as yet any official comparative study to date that brings out any differences in terms of students’ achievements in the private and public schools. However quality level in such schools is highly likely to be compromised by the
guiding business principles. The tendency is for business interests to encroach upon quality; hence exacerbation of quality in education services will set in.

The tight concentration of private schools in some of the big towns, as already witnessed by all and sundry, has limited to varying degrees the access of pupils coming from rural areas to their education. On the other hand, the extraordinarily hefty prices they charge, has transformed them into education centers to be attended only by the privileged “elite”, mainly the well-off and the wealthy.

Interestingly, the education service is deemed to be the means of increasing the scope of educational offer towards the wide range of interests and various needs of many educational customers.

*For this significant reason alone the private education service ought to be encouraged and promoted by means of:*

- increasing the size and number of private schools at all levels across the education system;
- adding more to their kind and range;
- expanding the various kinds of other educational services.

Another significant reason behind the expansion of the private education service is closely tied to the financial considerations. Despite the necessary increase of the share of GDP in the education sector and the need for a far more aggressive drive towards drawing in foreign funds, other alternative sources of funding are badly needed in order to realize the so-called leap in quality and in the achievements of pupils. On this and other accounts it’s of the essence to align business interests with the education market drives and tendencies.

Encouraging policies towards private education institutions are to be further considered and developed through a set of mechanisms such as easing fiscal policies and/or the government being committed to covering the better part of the recurrent operational expenditures. These mechanisms are to be closely pursued especially in the vocational and post-secondary education, in order for them to be a close fit for the labor market needs. In the case of higher education, in order to take off the pressure of the state budget for the over-expenditures in this sector, in order to make sure that there appears and exists a fair number of offers; as well for the schools of children of special needs. Favors of the *ad hoc type* could be basically done in accordance with the pressing needs, such as providing for access to basic or general secondary education in the less favorable or disadvantaged areas in order to maintain a normal rate of operation in the areas that are singled out for their over-crowded schools.

*Expanding the private educational service market* beyond the private schooling for the training and counseling administered to the benefit of teachers and education managers alike, monitoring and assessing the various aspects of the education services, for the assistance rendered to the benefit of pupils with special needs etc, combined with a close supervision of the quality of such services, and in ensuring the adequate competition as a prerequisite to boosting the quality of such services.
The quality as such much claimed and sought out by the wide range of private education customers will be safeguarded through the systematic and periodic inspection and the full transparency around the achievements of pupils at these schools.

Any risks posed by the unfair competition between the private and public schools at the expense of the services provided by the latter could be best avoided or be kept at a minimum through the management and performance-based teaching/learning process and the high degree of the transparency in such achievements towards the refined public and professional opinion.

A.2.2 Teacher Development

Better Forecasting of Teacher Demand

The up-to-now period of the Albanian ongoing transition has been characterized from a marked and deep lack focused and systematic investment in the training of teachers.

Pre-service training has been always provided by Universities but in-service training since independence has been conducted in an uncoordinated manner. Various agencies, both domestic and overseas ones, have conducted some sporadic teacher training, mainly for teachers in primary grades. This lack of a coherent approach has resulted in poor quality teachers and an increasing number of untrained teachers teaching in schools. The lack of a thorough and a coherent method has reduced significantly the effectiveness of such trainings.

Strengthening the Teacher Development Centre

With the assistance of the World Bank the establishment of the Education Center of Training and Qualifications (ECTQ) was made a reality. Among other things, its establishment was triggered and necessitated by the lack of teachers’ standards, qualifications, training modules, performance appraisal etc. Part of the National Education Strategy is to develop clear guidelines for the roles and responsibilities of the Center’s staff in relation to the central and regional stakeholders.

Teacher Training Curriculum Content and Processes

To develop a sound basis for teacher education, there is an urgent need to develop a detailed list of teacher competencies for primary, basic and secondary education teachers. These competencies need to be mapped onto pre-service programs which in turn should be guided by the Bologna conventions. Further, professional practice needs to be integrated into the new programs.
Conditions of Employment and Merit-based Incentive Schemes

To attract and retain high quality teachers the ECTQ in close cooperation with MOES, should research new incentive schemes that are based on performance and merit rather than being based simply on length of service. Some urgent action is needed to bring some respectability to the profession by matching its salaries with other civil servants.

Considering new models and alternatives to teacher training

Apart from the basic training scheme, the ECTQ should consider alternative models for ensuring teacher training such as models for training teachers in mixed-ability (collective) classrooms, the model of training teachers for certain classrooms, the hybrid model, the frontal and distance learning.

Quality Assurance and Teacher Registration

There arises the need to develop the process of teacher registration and accreditation as well as the implementation of the procedures for ongoing monitoring process.

Teacher registration should be a prerequisite for employment as a teacher. The Centre should develop initial and ongoing monitoring processes for teacher accreditation and registration. These processes should cover the application, approval and dispute resolution areas and need to be formally drafted and adopted by the MOES. The development of these processes should be done in conjunction with the reform of teacher training programs to ensure that the competencies expected as part of the registration process are included in the training programs.

In-service Training

This is perhaps one of the most critical issues confronting the MOES due to the urgent need to train the large number of currently untrained teachers and to upgrade the skills of others with regard to developing competencies in new and innovative teaching methods. The ongoing professional development of teachers needs to be strategically aligned with their needs. Such training needs to focus on aspects such as the new attributes and subject knowledge that teachers need along with exposure to the new teaching and learning models.

To ensure quality and efficiency, alternative modes of delivering in-service training need to be considered. For example, the training could involve the use of intensive block training, distance learning, or a hybrid of these modes. Further, in-service training does not need to be restricted to universities with bodies such as Non-Government Organizations (NGOs), international agencies, and teacher professional associations becoming involved.
In-service professional development activities should be credited towards formal qualifications or meeting the requirements for ongoing teacher registration.

**A.2.3 Textbook Development**

Textbooks are central to the teaching-learning process. Currently, as a way of compensating for their limited teaching competencies, teachers tend to use the textbooks as "props", covering the content of the textbooks rather than using the content to address the objectives of the curriculum. This process reflects the teachers’ limited understanding of the objectives inherent in the curriculum. The role of textbooks needs to be looked at in particular with regard to their design and development.

*Better Selection of Content and Instructional Design Issues*

As indicated above, the role of textbooks in the teaching-learning process is central and a crucial aspect of this is the content and instructional design of the books. There is need to revise and align the textbook development process with the QA models used by EU countries for developing and maintaining their education quality. The current approach of having textbooks written by university professors may not be the best tactic. As occurs in many developed countries, classroom teachers should be encouraged to get involved in the design and development of textbooks. The new generation of textbooks should promote the adoption of teaching and learning approaches which are based on real-life situations and are student-centered and activity-driven. They should also encourage group work as well as independent, creative and critical thinking. To address this, there is a need to train potential authors in instructional design principles and their underlying pedagogical assumptions.

*Coordinating the Demand and Supply of Textbooks*

There is a need for a planned and coordinated approach to textbook development particularly as the pre-university curriculum is revised. The new curriculum will most likely have a different mix of subjects and associated content which will determine the number and range of textbooks, many of which may have to be new. The EMIS database will assist in planning the demand and supply of different titles. Currently, using the supply (as opposed to the "demand") model, extra books are being printed unnecessarily for certain subjects.

*Managing the Demand and Supply of Alternative Textbooks*

With a student-centered learning approach, alternative texts are important. The selection of alternative books should involve all stakeholders such as parents, teachers, professional subject associations, and local government and they in turn may apply pressure on authors and the publishing houses for an increase in variety and quality. With the decentralization of schools, people who are in closest contact with subjects are
the teachers and they should be responsible for the management and monitoring of the
demand and supply of textbooks.

Privatization

With the various levels and the whole mix of schools in mind, the development
and distribution of school textbooks should be devolved to the private sector. While the
MOES should still have the responsibility for QA processes and the recommendation of
specific class textbooks, the process of selection of class textbooks should be a
transparent one done on a competitive basis. The MOES has begun some work in this
regard and intends to gradually privatize the entire textbook development activity. The
MOES will approve the production quality, instructional design and suitability of
content for the various grades and in that role will be a QA agent rather than a
publishing house.

Cost Recovery

Currently, students in pre-university education are fortunate to have textbooks
provided free of charge. However, as this imposes huge costs on the education sector
which are not sustainable in the long term, there is a need to explore alternative means
of financing textbook development and use. The MOES with support from international
agencies will consider various options. This is critical because, as new teaching and
learning approaches are introduced, a larger variety of learning resources will be
needed and they will need to be funded.

A.2.4 Alternative Teaching Resources

The current key resource for pre-university education is the class text-books with
teachers teaching only the content of textbook with little or no consideration of
alternative resources, including the use of ICTs which is very limited in the pre-
university education program. To redress these deficits, there is a need to gradually
introduce additional textbooks and other reference books by starting classroom libraries
and in larger schools where it is possible to establish proper libraries with internet
facilities.

The newly created Centre for Teacher Development (CTD) and the Institute for
Curriculum and Standards (ICS) should be researching ways to develop and support
additional resources for pre-university education. One option for them is to work with
and support teachers in the development of examples of good practices which can then
be shared nationally with other teachers. They should also be constantly engaged in
researching new and innovative practices, teaching and learning resources for different
subjects and developing CD-ROMs and/or hard copies of materials for distribution to
schools which enjoy no access to Internet.
While the roles of CTD and ICS have been clearly defined, it would be necessary for them to start thinking about their new functions to match the new forms, which should be on the look out for new practices and the new teaching-learning sources.

**A.2.5 Examinations and Monitoring**

Within the overall framework of the education reform, two important basic institutional reforms were the establishment of the National Centre for Evaluation and Assessment (NCEA) as well as the Inspectorate within the MOES. With regard to the NCEA, this organizational restructuring needs to be complemented with the development of the human capacity to increase the monitoring and evaluating capabilities of the NCEA to international standards. Further, there is a need to develop an external QA system (see A.1.5) to monitor the performance of the NCEA and to increase its efficiency.

**Strengthening Capacity**

The current capacity in NCEA seems to be largely in the area of statistical knowledge. This needs to be expanded to include education experts who can develop rigorous indicators of students’ learning outcomes. The Centre also needs some new equipment to carry out its work efficiently and to undertake national and international benchmarking of the learning process.

**Monitoring Quality and International Benchmarking**

Since the Government wants to develop the country to the point that will enable them to move into the EU and engage with other neighboring countries, there is an urgent need to develop national and international benchmarking and monitoring systems. The newly formed NCEA has been given some training under the current WB education project but there is still significant capacity building yet to be achieved. For example, the development of an equitable grading and ranking system to moderate the results from around different regions in the Republic is yet to be considered.

**Developing and Managing National Examinations**

The public confidence in the current examination system is very low and the NCEA has been assigned the task of bringing integrity and public confidence to the system. It has to develop a transparent but secure system free from unauthorized disclosure of examination information. The process of conducting and grading examinations should also be reviewed to remove the possibility of cheating or undue influence. For example, teachers should not be allowed to supervise their own students and students’ examination papers should be randomly coded rather than have recognized labels. All conflicts of interest or possible compromising situations need to be eliminated from the examination management system.
The NCEA should research and consult with all stakeholders to develop a single examination at the end of Grade 12. This examination should serve not only as an indicator of the knowledge achieved by Grade 12 students but also as a measure for university entry. The proposed "subject clusters" approach in the secondary curriculum will allow articulation into university programs and consequently, the level of achievement in the Matura examination can be used by universities to decide their preferred entry cut-offs.

A.3 FINANCING PRE-UNIVERSITY EDUCATION

Since 1989, public expenditure on education as a percentage of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) has been gradually decreasing from 4% in 1989 and 5% in 1991, to 3% in 2000 and 2001, to 2.9% in 2003. This expenditure is lower than the average in neighboring countries. The percentage expenditure of the public budget for education compared to total public expenditure for year 2003 is only 10.3%.

This indicator compared to 11.4% in 1995, and 11.5% in 1996 does not witness any growth at all.

The changing economic and socio-cultural aspirations within the country have imposed significant demands on the current education system and if it is not appropriately funded there is a risk of further deterioration of services in the sector, particularly the pre-university sub-sector. This could bring such services at a standstill if measures are not appropriately launched.

Since the late nineties, the demand for capital expenditure has increased significantly due to the damage of school premises during the transition period and the 1997 crisis and the lack of appropriate ongoing maintenance. MOES should exploring alternative means of funding this demand from national sources.

A.3.1 Instruments and Processes for Transfer of Funds

Block Grants

Whatever the process, the system does not appear to be responsive to the changing needs as exemplified by the maintenance issue above. There are other examples: First, the increased categories of activities in the pre-university sub-sector and distribution of resources among those categories have changed but the total expenditure in education has not, confirming that the system is not responsive to the rapidly changing conditions in this sub-sector. And second, the increase in internal and external migration and the flexibility for students to attend schools in neighboring regions may pose problems in the area of cross-regional funding. To overcome these and similar problems and to be responsive to the changing demands and allow flexibility and equity for students and
regions, a per-capita funding model that links directly to schools may be an alternative. Rather than using pre-determined amounts, this model is based on actual student numbers which can act as an indicator of the size of the school, the extent of facilities, the number of teacher and support staff that are needed and not necessarily in the amount of money predetermined by way of pragmatic and archaic methods as has been the case up to the present day.

**Local Government Funding**

Under the current system, all maintenance of schools is the responsibility of Local Government and this is funded from the preconditioned grant transferred from the national budget and/or through Local Governments’ contribution generated through local tax etc. It is unclear how much funds are generated locally and how much is actually spent on maintenance of school building and facilities as the actual percentage of funds made available by Local Governments for the education sector generally and for the sub-sectors specifically is not available to the MOES’s central finance directorate. Also, the MOES does not have any information about the accountability of the disbursement of Local Government funds. This lack of information seriously undermines MOES's financial planning and its ability to provide an equitable funding model.

**Recurrent Expenditure Managed by the Central Office of the MOES.**

The Central Office of the MOES manages the disbursement of all other recurrent expenditure such as those related to the publication of the textbooks, scholarships, didactic materials and transport for teachers and students. In light of potential cost benefits due to economies of scale, maintaining some of these services at the Central level have advantages but some of the activities such as transport and scholarships would be better under the control of the Local Governments and communes. Some of this re-alignment to increase efficiency and cost savings may happen through the decentralization process. Irrespective of the division of responsibility, detailed budget planning is necessary to increase the accountability of both the Local Governments and the Central Office and both should be able to disaggregate the costs for each variable if necessary.

**Accountability and Transparency**

The accountability of all funds transferred from the MOES Central Office to the Local governments and those contributed by Local Governments should be made more transparent.

The inclusion of budget line items for all major activities, through the central and local/regional funding, is going to clear up some of the confusion hovering around. MOES has identified at least seven programs which do not figure in at the level of the local government.
The presence of such line items will ensure the realization of disbursements as planned and in compliance with the timelines. But, more significantly, the proposed practices would help the financial planners to better identify the pitfalls and actual expenditures at the various levels of the system.

**Budget Planning Capacity**

The current supply model of the education services is reflected in the financing system. One of the key reform assumptions is to shift to a demand- and performance-based model for managing and financing the sub-sector. For this to happen, there is a need to develop capacities at the various levels in the financial system to engage in not only developing detailed budget plans but also implementing and managing the plan.

**A.3.2 Increasing Efficiency in the Sub-sector Funding**

**Communication and Information Sharing**

The Local Government’s contribution to the education sector generally should be made available to the MOES to assist in the planning of its budget. This financial information sharing is significantly lacking among the MOES, the Ministry of Finance, Local Government, Communes and schools. The EMIS planned for the MOES should be designed to collect and manage such information. A team of finance experts should be engaged to design the types of data, levels of recording, types and level of analysis that will enhance the MOES’s capacity to plan and manage its activities.

**Funds Raised by Schools**

The current practices of pooling all monies raised by schools into a single account, imposing a tax on the funds raised, as well as the decisions made by municipalities and communes on such sums of money raised should be thoroughly overhauled. Schools and communities should be allowed to have full control on these incomes pooled in order to foster community ownership of school development.

The current rates/percentages that move into the state budget will have to be re-examined with the view to reducing them, in order for parents and communities alike to be further encouraged. The school should be permitted to have a full autonomy in using these funds raised from its own equipment and facilities resources as well as those raised by its own employees working extra hours.

**Tax Exemptions for Educational Investments**

Given the shrinking public sector funding for pre-university education in recent years, schools should be encouraged to source alternative funding. To encourage benevolent contributions from the public, all alternative funding should be tax exempt
so that every lek given for school development is used for improving and supporting the quality of education. Also, NGOs, teachers and students who purchase educational equipment such as computers should be exempted from associated taxes. The Government has considered this option and there are some provisions in place but their complexity deters people from seeking such exemptions. There is an urgent need to simplify education tax regulations/exemptions so that more people can benefit.

**Reallocation of Funds.**

Pre-university education is the top priority sub-sector of the education system. MOES has agreed to regulate the expenditure in the higher education by proposing a ‘user pays’ model to offset the reduced funding to other sub-sectors. The funds freed up by these processes will be redirected to pre-university education.

**A.3.4 Mentoring and Reform Support Systems**

There may be a risk that some Communes or Local Governments may not have the human capacity to run and manage schools with high-degree cost-effectiveness. This situation could be checked by establishing partnerships between them. As an alternative, the IPS and the MOES Central and Regional Offices may provide on-going mentoring by assigning designated staff to work with the local staff.

A similar situation may also exist at school level where some schools may not feel comfortable with the additional tasks such as budget planning and reporting on financial management. These schools may form into school clusters with a common core budget.

**A.4 CAPACITY BUILDING AND HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT – A CONCEPTUAL SHIFT**

The transition from a centralized and supply-driven system to one that is based on and increased accountability and demand that is often assessed by the local authority and end users requires a fundamental conceptual shift in thinking. These conceptual changes are central to ensuring changes to policies and practices at an operational level. The underlying assumptions around demand-driven and multi-level accountability systems are particularly complex and quite alien to the country’s history with communist ideology, an ideology which is based on a centralized supply-driven model. The relationships and associated reallocation of responsibilities between central and local authorities, between the State and the individual, between the State and the private sector etc. need to undergo considerable change under the proposed reform model. This section of the NES addresses some of the significant shifts that are necessary in the education sector as part of its reform.
Given the increasing cost to the public sector budget of providing high quality education, new and more efficient systems need to be considered. The government has agreed to adopt a demand-based approach to increasing efficiency supported by a rigorous accounting and monitoring system built around the EMIS project. As a result, there are several specific considerations which are noted below as themes that underpin the whole NES.

A.4.1 Education as Cross-Sector Service

A national education system is a service sector which has the task of ensuring that future citizens have the necessary knowledge, skills and attitudes to complement the national economic development plan of the Republic. It underpins the satisfaction of the national labor market needs and the socio-cultural aspirations of the people. However, these needs and aspirations cannot be met by the education sector alone and activities such as the National Curriculum Framework should involve cross-sector dialogue and input to ensure that the proposed framework reflects the needs of all sectors. The essential nature of cross-sector dialogue and coordination can be seen in those activities sponsored and supported by different ministries. For example programs that tie in and target “working children”, “school food program”, “family subsidies” etc. are managed by several different ministries. In order to enhance and bring to a higher level their effectiveness there is a need to maximize their impact by a better coordination between them.

A.4.2 Rationalization of School Distribution

Given the high rate of migration, there is a need to develop a reliable school mapping database because, through such a database, more accurate and long term planning may be achieved which in turn will allow better use of the limited resources available to pre-university education. Uneconomic and unsustainable schools will need to be rationalized and alternative models for the delivery of education, particularly to areas of very low density population, should be developed and tried out. By this means, the MOES will ensure that there is not only equity of access to education but also economic and administrative efficiency. It is important here to differentiate between schools and classes as the unit under discussion because while the overall demand for new places and hence the demand for new schools may not increase, the redistribution of students caused by internal migration may well create a need for new classrooms.

MOES should make sure that pupils are provided access and equal chances to the offer of education.

This rationalization may present challenges to the regional- and commune-based systems where schools with small numbers of children making them unviable exist only few kilometer apart because of district and regional boundaries, but the insignificant number of pupils is rendering these schools beyond use.
A.4.3 Inspection vs. Facilitation

The role of inspectorates within the MOES is currently seen as a punitive and control mechanism. This has to change if teachers are to become educational professionals who are innovative and willing to engage in self development. This failure in the system is the responsibility of every one associated with the task at all levels. The best option is to be proactive and continuously provide support and guidance. This conceptual shift is central to the success of ‘self reporting’ process introduced as part of internal QA monitoring concept. Individuals should trust the system enough that they will report honestly. Otherwise, the problems of inaccurate data and feedback will continue and any subsequent planning based on that data will be of little value.

A.4.4 Professionalization of Teaching

The current workload of teachers needs to be paid some new attention especially in relation to the status of the teachers’ profession.

Unlike many EU countries teaching in the country is not regarded as a full-time profession. Given that one of the objectives of the reform is to develop capacities and systems to align with EU and OECD countries, the teaching service should be viewed as part of the public service in Albania and teachers should be working a full 35-40 hrs week. Such an approach will increase the commitment by teachers and also justify increasing their salaries. One of the advantages of promoting full-time employment is that economic efficiencies can be introduced by insisting on multi-grade competencies for primary teachers and at least two teaching subjects for secondary teachers. These suggestions may mean a reduction in the total number of teachers but it will improve the student-teacher ratio, bringing the Albanian education system in line with other countries in the region.

To ensure that there is an independent monitoring of teacher quality, teacher professional association and networks should be developed. In many developed countries, these aspects are a significant resource for improving teaching and learning processes in schools, curriculum innovations and designing, trialing and evaluating textbooks.

A.4.5 Curriculum Electives and Specializations

Education for all does not mean that every student needs to study the same subjects in the same manner. The education system should be designed such that at the lower levels there is foundational knowledge that all children need to study such as basic literary and numeracy and ICT competencies. As students move to higher levels,
there should be some choice and the curriculum should have the flexibility to provide subject blocks such as social science, business and economics, engineering, medical sciences etc. as options. This would allow a degree of specialization based on student choice while reducing the need to provide all subjects to all students. Secondary schools should have the autonomy to decide what subject combination they will offer based on local needs and stakeholder demands.

Another aspect of curriculum choice is that many new subjects such as civics education, business education, multimedia and communication etc. are emerging. These developments mean that curriculum developers need to continuously review the subjects that are being offered and explore options such as replacing outdated subjects with new and relevant ones and/or integrating related subjects into more comprehensive ones. Integrating subjects to enhance learning outcomes will also reduce the overall cost of textbooks.

These changes to the curriculum - student choice and the restructuring of subjects - have implications for teacher numbers, textbooks and classrooms and may face considerable opposition as the current roles of many subject experts will be challenged. However, the curriculum developers need to access the international literature to illustrate to all stakeholders the benefits of this approach to curriculum development.

**A.4.6 Research-based and Data-driven Policy Development**

The MOES has established the PAPD to engage in rigorous analytical work in the area of policy. There is an urgent need to increase the number of staff in this department and to provide them with considerable capacity building in order for them to perform this task adequately. The MOES has also initiated the development of an EMIS system to collect data at various levels within the pre-university education system. To obtain the best outcome from the EMIS and the PAPD, there is a need to develop a "culture of continuous improvement" - continuous curriculum development, continuous school improvements, and continuous human resource development. However, in order to achieve worthwhile improvement, all of the policy decisions regarding the education sector in general and the pre-university education in particular should be based on data. Where data is not available, the MOES should either commission special research or modify the EMIS to allow appropriate data to be collected to obtain an accurate assessment of the issue of concern.
A5. DEVELOPMENT OF VOCATIONAL EDUCATION IN THE CONTEXT OF THE OVERALL PRE-UNIVERSITY EDUCATION DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY

A.5.1 An overall picture of the vocational education. Some background information

In the framework of education, the further development and consolidation of the vocational education occupies a conspicuous place in the Government Program. In fact vocational education is considered to be a top priority. The vocational education, among other things, aims at encouraging the thorough acquisition of new knowledge and skills, bringing the school closer and closer to the world of work, combating and eliminating the exclusion of youth from the direct participation in the social and economic life of the country, promoting life-long learning as well as the creation of equal opportunities for all.

In line with Act no. 8872, dated 29.03.2002, "On Vocational Education and Training in the Republic of Albania", attendance at the Vocational Education schools takes place upon completion of the 9-year compulsory education and consists of these types and levels:

a) Vocational education, typically noted for its very practical nature, equips pupils with a range of basic and indispensable competences and skills for the intentions of highly qualified vocational activities in a certain profile or craft. It is structured around two levels: First level: lasts for 3 years (equivalent to the 3C category of ISCED—International Standard Classification of Education). It does not necessarily end with a Matura, but it awards a certificate of vocational competences and it allows for a smooth transition or upward transfer to the second level of vocational education in the same profile or craft. Second level, which lasts for 2 years, happens as such upon completion of the first level, (equivalent to the category 3A of ISCED), ends with a Matura and is accompanied with the release of a certificate testifying to vocational competences and abilities. This level allows for enrolment in university studies in all of the branches on offer.

b) Technical secondary education (equivalent to category 3A ISCED), equips pupils with a general technical-managerial culture and knowledge, capable of organizing and steering vocational activities in a given profile or specialty. It lasts for 5 years (after the compulsory education) and it ends with a Matura and the certificate attesting to the technical-vocational competences. It allows students to enroll in university studies after they go through studies at such an educational level.

All in all there are 40 purely technical and vocational school across the country. They are for the most part spread out or located in 22 districts, where students attend
studies in a range of profiles and apprentices equal to 35. Out of the total number, it’s easy to notice that there operate in the rural areas some 3 vocational schools which are oriented towards the agriculture-agribusiness track. The number of students enrolled in the public technical-vocational schools, including the arts, pedagogical, sports and foreign language track schools (termed as social-cultural ones) accounts for around 20% of the pupils that attend the general secondary education. This figure is comparatively low, taking note of the fact that in the OECD countries, this figure hovers around the 50% region. What is more, this figure tends to be even higher in some other western developed countries.

A.5.2. Major characteristics and the significant problems surrounding Vocational Education (VE)

Despite the many reforming interventions undertaken in recent years, and in particular with reference to the support provided by the various donors, VE in Albania, aside from any noteworthy positive marks of renewal or recovery, is still enjoying a low-profile reputation and is continuing to fall short of playing the intended role in the current and expected developments in the country.

The VE today is still exhibiting the following characteristics and issues:

- VE is still considered today to be a “school-based system”, where the theoretical and practical preparation of pupils is fulfilled mainly in the facilities of the vocational school and, where possible and permissible, within the spaces of an enterprise.

- The very content of vocational qualifications is varied in nature depending on the direction/profile and levels, but in general, it is structured as follows: (a) relating to the 3 year level, 34% belongs to general culture, 33% vocational theory and 33% vocational practicum and (b) for the 5 year level, 40% turns out to be of general culture, 35% vocational theory and 25% vocational practicum.

- Admission to the public vocational schools is open to almost all of the pupils who graduate from the compulsory education, while in separate cases, where demands do outnumber the supply, the admission is done on the basis of an entry competition administered and held in full compliance with the directives issued by MOES. However the number of pupils entering the Vocational Education is lower than that in Secondary General Schools. It also has a direct impact in the low quality at the end of the studies.

- Funding for the VE comes straight from the state budget earmarked by the state budget for the education sector, from the local governments’ budget, the various projects by donors, other supporting activities as well as the revenues raised through the many economic activities carried out by the school and other resources permissible by the law. Yet this funding is by no means adequate, if comparison is drawn with the very needs of the sector.
- At the moment, in the VE system the functioning of the vocational guidance and career counseling as such have been formally considered and appropriately envisaged;

- There is a lack of the pre-service training system for teachers and instructors of the vocational subjects and practicum. On top of this, there is not any special national program on the in-service training targeted at this category of teachers. Any training to be offered at all is of a very sporadic and erratic nature coming from the donors’ projects, from the Regional Educational Authorities and the former Institute of Pedagogical Studies. The same situation holds true of any training to be held for the benefit of vocational school managers and headmasters.

- Any manor interventions worthwhile have been done at the school level and any changes to take place at the system level have been completely inconsiderable;

- There is a marked discrepancy among the vocational schools from the financial, human resources and school facilities perspective. In general, the material infrastructure for the better part of vocational schools is quite poor and inadequate;

- Despite the best efforts being made at drafting any piece of legislation on VE and the coming into force of the new Act on VET (March 2002) it should be noted that any connection or relation of the VE to the labor market and other social partners are increasingly poor. This is due to a number of reasons that relate closely to the slow pace in drafting any subsequent sub-legal acts and the inactivity of mechanisms as they are projected by the laws.

- The VE curriculum, in spite of any undertakings and initiatives at modularization and decentralization, continues to be traditional by nature and distanced away from the vocational realities. There is huge shortage of textbooks and other materials in support to the teaching and learning process;

- Teaching for the most part remains traditional to the core. This trend is negatively aided by the lack of qualification for the teaching personnel;

- The process of pupils’ final assessment is administered by the schools themselves. In the majority of cases it lacks the effectiveness and objectivity especially with reference to the vocational practicum. This in turn leads to the low reputation surrounding the various competence certificates issued by most vocational schools;

A.5.3. Objective goals of Vocational Education

In view of the coming decade the Vocational Education (VE) should be oriented more and more towards a systematic reform. It should respond to the ever changing needs of VE in a system capable of adapting to the uncertainty and in sustainability of employment underpinning the changing demands of the labor markets. This reform
should go beyond the purely institutional transformations and not fall short of such a
target. As such it will call for radical changes affecting the vision, the set of attitudes
and behaviors of all people, be they stakeholders or various social partners.

The actual development trends in VE should be oriented towards goals that lead
up to the establishment of a far more attractive VE, that offers access to all interested
parties and far bigger employment opportunities allowing for transition to the higher
levels (ex. tertiary level). VE should be elevated is such a position as to facilitate any
immediate reaction to the developments and the demands of the labor market at the
regional, national and local level. It will have to be effective and be based on a
partnership model that engages in all its activities all of the stakeholders (government,
social partners and communities). At the basis of it all should be placed the underlying
concept of life-long learning. Such a system should help establish the opportunity for
the accumulation, transferring and recognition of all credits gained and obtained in
different forms and manners. Step by step it will have to fit in snugly with the level of
qualifications already acknowledged and accepted by the European Union.

Generally speaking, it should be pointed out that any developments in VE, being
an integral part of Pre-university Education, will be realized in fine tune with
Government Program and the prime objectives of “National Strategy for the
Development of Pre-university Education”. The following set of objectives in VE take
due account of the developments in recent years, not only in Albania, but also in the
region and beyond. At the same time they make special reference to the most important
documents published by EU that enjoy a relevance to the specific features of the VE
sector.

1. **From the structural perspective of the VE system:** increasing the flexibility of the
choice of offers, admission opportunities, advancement and vertical and horizontal
mobility (among the levels and profiles) in VE, through:

   a) Establishment of a National Framework of Vocational Qualifications
      (NFVQ), which will consist of:
      - **Levels** of vocational qualifications and benchmarks (descriptive figures) of
        these levels from the perspective of vocational standards.
      - **Directions** (profiles and apprentices) of the vocational qualifications and
        respective descriptions (standards) for each level.
      - **Evaluation criteria** (testing) against the set of standards contained within
        the NFVQ.
      - **Description** of Certificates for the vocational qualifications recognized at
        the national and international level.

   b) **Adapting and adjusting the structure of the VE system** relying mostly on NFVQ
      and taking into account the recommending levels of European Framework of
      Qualifications;
      - Entry level into the work sector, which awards certificates on the basic
        vocational competences;
- The level of qualified employee/worker, which offers certificates of the vocational competences; the technical/managerial level which offers the diploma of the technical Matura.

c) Establishment of a special cycle in order to complete the general culture of pupils who complete the level of the qualified worker in order to offer the diploma of the technical Matura;

d) Establishment of the post-secondary vocational-oriented cycle for pupils who complete the general secondary education and who seek to obtain a vocational qualification.

From the quantitative viewpoint, the major indicator of expected developments during this period will be doubling the drawing-in rate of pupils in the vocational-technical and social-cultural schools, from 20 %, the current rate, of secondary education to about 40 % figure.

2. From the curriculum perspective of VE: Modernizing the curricula model in order to align it with the demands of the labor market and increasing or raising the level of flexibility, though the following:

a) Institutionalizing the two-tier curriculum structure of VET (decentralized), with curricula framework (as a national standard of the relevant vocational qualification) drawn up/developed at the central level and with a school-based curricula, based on the respective curricula framework, on the real demands of the labor market and the real opportunities of schools;

b) The gradual modularization of the VE curricula in order to facilitate the integration of vocational competences and occupational standards and to facilitate the accumulation, transferring and recognition of credits earned by pupils;

c) Institutionalizing a methodology of drafting the VE curricula that is based on the occupation analysis, which considers the compilation of curricula as an ongoing and multi-faceted process and which commits all interested persons in this process.

d) Supporting the VE curricula with "integral textbooks" which are to be in use for a relatively long period of time, by a huge number of pupils for all levels and directions by helping reduce considerably the costs incurred by their production;

3. From the institutional perspective: Development of institutional mechanisms for the administration of new functions and support given to the current functions of VE through:

a) Empowering the roles of National Council of VET (specialized committees and the respective secretariat) as a three-party consultative body (government,
employer, and employee) in support of developing the reforming policies on VET.

b) Establishing the National Agency of VET in order to carry out the following cross-sector functions. There seems to be some failure towards accomplishing such functions on the part of central and VET institutions.

- Constantly drafting and reviewing the National List of Vocations and the accompanying descriptions;
- Drafting and reviewing constantly the NFVQ (with the description of standards for all levels and profiles, the evaluation criteria and certifications);
- Drafting and reviewing constantly the Curriculum Framework of the vocational qualifications;
- Drafting and reviewing constantly the manual of Accreditation of institutions that offer vocational qualifications;
- Conducting the needs analysis on vocational qualifications at the national level;
- Establishing and updating the Databank in relation to NFVQ, curriculum framework, accreditation, needs for vocational qualifications etc, that relate to VET.

c) Empowering the Vocational School boards with social partners (employers and employees);

d) Establishing departments (on vocational basis) at the vocational schools, as units of developing and adapting the curricula at the school level, as well as the internal training of teachers and instructors alike;

e) Establishing national mechanism on the vocational orientation and career counseling, with the support of the social partners.

4. From the human resources perspective of VET: Building teaching and managerial competences of the VE personnel, through:

- Establishing an institutional mechanism on qualification (pre-service) on the basis of pedagogy and psychology, of new teachers and instructors of VE;
- Empowering the actual institutional mechanisms (at the central and school level) on the in-service training, both methodological and vocational of all teachers and instructors of VE;
- Empowering the actual institutional mechanisms for the training of vocational schools in connection with the specifics of management in this sector.
5. **From the legal-financial perspective.** Completing and supplementing the normative-legal framework of VE with acts, decisions, ordinances and other regulations with all the relevant reforming changes and transformations in this sector, through:

- *Drafting a special Act “The national framework of vocational qualifications”, as well as the sub-legal acts in support of this vital and central component to a modern system of VET;*
- *Establishing a legal environment for the development of non-public VE;*
- *Establishing a legal environment that allows for a bigger engagement and involvement of schools in economic activities, with the view to increasing quality in training pupils and raising additional or extra incomes.*

6. **From the infrastructure perspective of VE:** Quantitative and qualitative development of the teaching environment and teaching aids in support of the projected qualitative and quantitative increase of the VE offer, through:

- *Redesigning the existing facilities for the theoretical and practical teaching process at the vocational schools.*
- *Equipping with the necessary material and didactical basis for a theoretical and practical teaching process;*
- *Establishing/opening of new schools in those regions where the demand is much higher (or transferring the destination of some general secondary schools to vocational schools). Of course this will have to be accompanied with other interventions at the school level (restructuring school buildings, creating new workshops and renewing their equipment sources, reviewing the qualifications offered, changes in the school personnel).*
- *Optimizing the extent and size of schools (establishing the regional and national vocational schools), in order to better align the supply with the demand and to reduce drastically the costs of such a public service. This means that after the reorganization process (the end of 2008), there will be an increase in the number of pupils per school, from 380, the figure as it stands now, to 700-1000 pupils. This could be made possible by way of constructions, editions, schools coming under the same roof, freeing up any school space encroached upon by use of force, as well better making use of the existing capacities.*
- *Institutionalizing cooperation among VE, vocational education and business in order to use in a mutual manner the infrastructure of these actors to the intent of better training professionally the pupils and the course attendees.*

All of the above and other similar reforming interventions not dealt with at the moment, will contribute significantly to raising quality of VE and delivering on the quantitative goal that over the next 4 years there will be a doubling of attendance in this sector.
In order to better deliver on these objectives which are to stem from a more detailed and comprehensive analysis into the current status and the eyes set on the perspective, they need to be supported through concrete and realistic action plans.
PART B

POLICY MATRICES INCLUDING KEY ISSUES, OBJECTIVES, BENEFICIARIES, MONITORING INDICATORS AND TIMELINES

In this part are written down the major activities, goals, indicators, benchmarks, risks, assumptions as well as the general deadlines. Information on this part is to be found in the relevant descriptions in part A.

The main activities identified so far have been ranked according to their order of priority in order to keep in place a progressive development. The Matrice contains the whole range of activities and brings to light any obstacles hampering their fulfillment, the projected activities in reaching the set of goals and the monitoring indicators in order to evaluate the outcomes.

B.1 GOVERNANCE: REFORMING AND STRENGTHENING MANAGEMENT CAPACITY: POLICY MATRIX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Issues</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Beneficiaries</th>
<th>Monitoring indicators</th>
<th>Risks &amp; assumptions</th>
<th>Proposed timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reforming the Central Office</td>
<td>• Clearly define job roles to avoid ambiguity • Plan and introduce PBM system • Develop minimum standards of performance • Develop procedures to deal with non-performers. • Develop incentive schemes to encourage high levels of performance • Transfer the PBM to regional and other levels Through intensive training develop capacity to use PBM to manage MOES</td>
<td>• MOES staff aware of their roles • Public aware of the roles of MOE staff • Improved services to regional MOES staff • Improved services to schools and communes. • Impact on quality of education for children</td>
<td>• Legislations to implement PBM in MOES approved • Percentage of Central departments trained and using PBM by 2006 • Percentage of educational institutions trained and using PBM by 2006 • Percentage of Regional MOES units using PBM by 2008 • Reduction in turn-around time for decision and actions at Central Office • Increased staff initiative in resolving issues.</td>
<td>• Government Elections may delay the process • Appointment of personnel not based on qualification &amp; performance • Changing practices may take time • Lack of MOE commitment to support and obtain necessary legislative requirements.</td>
<td>• Central levels restructure to be achieved by 2006 • All institutes, agencies and centers affiliated to MOES adopt PBM by 2007 • Regional and other levels by 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.1.2 Decentralization</td>
<td>MOES central staff</td>
<td>New policy guidelines approved by cabinet</td>
<td>Reluctance to share power</td>
<td>Guidelines and functions to be decentralized approved by 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop clear policy guidelines for the MOES functions that will be decentralized</td>
<td>Regional MOES and LGA staff</td>
<td>Percentage of identified tasks managed through DCM</td>
<td>Not sufficient training of personnel</td>
<td>50% of agreed function decentralized by 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop clear guidelines for the regional agencies accepting the decentralized roles</td>
<td>Other stakeholders</td>
<td>Number of training opportunities provided</td>
<td>Lack of trust and ownership by stakeholders</td>
<td>100% of agreed function decentralized by 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop capacity to use data for planning of local level. Develop reporting and accountability process within and across departments</td>
<td>Parents and students</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not fully appreciating the complementarity of central and local management responsibilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>School directors and teachers</td>
<td>Number of school directors trained</td>
<td>Communities not ready to take on the responsibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PTA and local community</td>
<td>Number of PTA established and actively supporting the school</td>
<td>School director and LGA manager not fully trained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LGA and other stakeholders</td>
<td>Number of school development plans submitted</td>
<td>Funding mechanism not targeted well</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>National benchmarking</td>
<td>LGA not willing to allocate agreed funds to schools.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of Commune leaders trained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.1.3 School Autonomy</td>
<td>Managers and Policy developers at Central MOES</td>
<td>Level of infrastructure and equipment in place.</td>
<td>Inadequate infrastructure and equipment</td>
<td>EMIS at Central fully functional by 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Managers and Policy developers at Central Regional MOES and LGA</td>
<td>Extent of training provided to technical staff</td>
<td>Not enough and not specialized training provided to local personnel.</td>
<td>EMIS functional at 50% of schools and regional offices by 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planning and budgeting officers</td>
<td>Extent of training provided to the professional staff</td>
<td></td>
<td>EMIS functional at 100% of schools and regional offices by 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quality monitoring people</td>
<td>Quality of data being collected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Types of analysis performed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quality of data driven reports prepared for policy development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.1.4 Educational Management Information System</td>
<td>Intensive staff developing and specialized training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### B.1.5 Reporting and Quality Assurance

- Develop staff appraisal systems with provision for appeals
- Develop multiple level of reporting on staff performance
- Develop evidence based appraisal system
- Bench mark MOES performance with other ministries and international best practices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOES staff</th>
<th>MOES staff</th>
<th>MOES staff</th>
<th>MOES staff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unions and other professional associations</td>
<td>Regional MOES and LGA staff</td>
<td>School Directors and teachers</td>
<td>General public</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Structure and guidelines for monitoring formal adopted by MOES
- Rigor and quality of self evaluation report of departments
- External audit by the MOES

- Agreeing on objective indicators of quality
- Fear of retribution for reporting low quality and performance levels
- Staff and organizational units’ appraisal guidelines approved and adopted by 2006.
- 50% of supervisors and HOD’s adopt the new SA and QA by 2008
- 100% of supervisors and HOD’s adopt the new SA and QA by 2010

### B.2 IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF THE TEACHING AND LEARNING PROCESS: POLICY MATRIX
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key issue</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Beneficiaries</th>
<th>Monitoring indicators</th>
<th>Risks &amp; assumptions</th>
<th>Proposed timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Curriculum Development** | • Develop a Comprehensive National Curriculum Framework  
• Structure of pre-university education with appropriate learning goals  
• Rationalize subjects and hours for grade levels (workload).  
• Develop policies to guide design of student choice and inclusive education  
• Develop new syllabi by revising subject content and map vertical and horizontal articulation  
• Develop policy and processes to articulate basic education into vocational education pathway | • MOES, and NSSED planners  
• Educational institutes involved with pre university education  
• Curriculum Development Center  
• Teachers and school directors education  
• Students and Parents  
• NGO and INGO involved in curriculum innovations | • Cross sector debates of National Curriculum framework.  
• National Curriculum Framework developed and adopted by the Govt.  
• New list of subject and hrs per subject for each grade developed and approved  
• New subject syllabi -content and process developed and approved, tried and implemented | • Lack of capacity and/or commitment by CDC to lead the curriculum reform.  
• Continue to stay with familiar concepts and approaches  
• Tension caused by traditional subject being combined can lead to disengaging from the reform. | |  
| **B.2.1** | • MOES, and NSSED planners  
• Educational institutes involved with pre university education  
• Curriculum Development Center  
• Teachers and school directors education  
• Students and Parents  
• NGO and INGO involved in curriculum innovations | | | | **NCF developed an approved by 2005**  
**Primary syllabi developed, tried and implemented by 2010**  
**Secondary syllabi developed tried and implemented by 2015.** |
| **Teacher Development** | • Develop capacity to forecast teacher demands  
• Strengthening Teacher Development Agency  
• Review Teacher Training Curriculum for content and process  
• Review conditions for employment for teachers  
• Consider new and alternative models of teacher training  
• Quality assurance and teacher accreditation.  
• In-service training and Professional Networks | • EMIS,PAPD MOES  
• Teacher Development agency  
• School Directors and Teachers  
• Providers of Teacher training  
• School and disadvantaged communities  
• Parents and Students | • EMIS data based established and report on 1 region’s demand and supply  
• Clear job roles for TA written and people appointed on merit  
• PBM adopted and 12 monthly appraisals conducted.  
• Teacher competencies plus degree programs developed and approved  
• Accreditation board established and processing existing teachers accreditation Minimum of 5 teacher professional association established and is operational | • Lack of commitment and willingness to undertake the task.  
• Lack of understanding of the latest research in teacher development  
• Obtaining legislative approval for teacher accreditation, merit based remunerations etc in time.  
Upgrading all current teachers with knowledge and skills to implement the new curriculum. | **Teacher Development Agency jobs roles defined and staff appointed by 2006**  
**Teacher competencies for different levels and subjects developed by 2006**  
**Teacher pre and in-service programs developed, approved and running by 2006**  
**Teacher accreditation and merit based remuneration approved and operational by 2010** |
| B.2.3 Textbook Development | • Review selection of content and instructional design  
• Coordinating the demand and supply of textbooks  
• Managing supply and demand of alternative textbooks  
• Privatization of textbook publishing  
• Develop mechanism for cost recovery of textbooks | • Student and teachers  
• Textbook authors  
• MOES planners  
• MOES and Regional offices  
• Publishing companies  
• School Directors and Parents | • Policy and procedures for textbook development approved.  
• Training for textbook authors provided  
• Textbook data linked to EMIS  
• Policy and procedures for cost recovery approved. | • Authors reluctant discard previous books.  
• Lack of appreciation of the significance of instructional design.  
• Opposition form publishing house to stop annual print runs  
• Resistance to pay for books | • New policy of textbook design and production approved 2006  
• EMIS report summaries the demand for textbook by 2006  
• First batch of textbooks process and approved for printing 2007  
• Negotiation on cost recovery finalized and pilot by 2008 |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| B.2.4 Alternative Teaching Resources | • Develop minimum standards for reference library  
• Develop minimum standards for numbers and type of alternative textbooks, CD ROM etc.  
• Develop policies for school library.  
• Develop policy for use of Internet as a research tool. | • Student and teachers  
• Textbook authors  
• MOES and Regional offices  
• Publishing and IT companies  
• School Directors and Parents | • Training for alternative resource developers provided  
• Policy and procedures for alternative books approved.  
• Policy and procedures for school libraries and internet services developed and approved. | • Inability to control for quality and relevance.  
• School management not appreciative of the needs for additional resources  
• Maintenance cost for computers and Internet systems not budgeted. | • Policy on alternative textbook and resources approved 2006  
• Minimum list of alternative resources prepared and circulated 2006  
• 30% of schools have good alternate books and learning resources. |
| B.2.5 Examination & Monitoring | • Strengthening NCEA capacity to effective carry out its functions  
• Develop policies and procedures for Monitoring & Benchmarking  
• Develop NCEA’s capacity to develop, conduct national moderation and benchmarking  
• Develop rigorous and trustworthy national exams for grade 9 for leaving compulsory education plus the Matura exams  
• Develop NCEA’s capacity to develop, conduct international benchmarking | • NCEA staff,  
• Parents and Students  
• Universities and other post secondary institutions  
• School Directors, Local Government  
• MOES quality assessment. | • NCEA staff trained in educational assessment and monitoring  
• Design and Pilot national examination for Grade 9 for the new curriculum  
• Develop policy and procedure to develop Matura examinations  
• Formal agreement with universities and other post secondary institutions to use a single exam for university entrance. | • Lack of sufficient understanding of educational assessment  
• Inability to assure public the reliability and integrity of examination  
• Inability to negotiate with universities to have a common Matura  
• Lack of transparent and defensible analysis and reports. | • Training to build capacity conducted by 2005  
• Design and Trial grade 9 National exam 2006, full implementation by 2008  
• Common Matura exam agreement by 2006  
• Participate in regional benchmarking by 2007  
• Design and trial grade 9 national exam 2010, full implementation by 2011 |
**B.3 FINANCING PRE-UNIVERSITY EDUCATION: POLICY MATRIX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key issues</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Beneficiaries</th>
<th>Monitoring indicators</th>
<th>Risks &amp; assumptions</th>
<th>Proposed timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.3.1 Composition of the pre-university education budget</td>
<td>• MOF, MOES and the LG to develop a transparent and detailed description of financial contributions made by each stakeholder • Separate sectorial funding in the block grant and by conditional and unconditional budget.</td>
<td>• MOES, MOF and LG • School boards and communes</td>
<td>• Percentage contributions determined • The process of recording this information formally adopted as a permanent activity.</td>
<td>• MOF’s reluctance to disclose detail funding information</td>
<td>• The specific financial items needs by MOES for planning and identified by 2005 • The reporting procedure between MOF and MOES agreed and formally adopted 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.3.2 Instruments to Transfer Budget Funds</td>
<td>• Disaggregate block grants into MOES specific activities as line items • Increase flexibility and equity of the funding model by considering carefully developed per-capita funding model • Review the LG share of education funding and process of accountability to ensure regular maintenance is carried out • Review centrally managed recurrent expenditure in light of decentralization • Detailed review of accountability process to increase transparency and efficiency in the system • Comprehensive training in Budget planning management and reporting.</td>
<td>• MOES central and regional finance directorate • Schools and Regions • Education finance managers • Finance management auditors and MOF • All education stakeholders</td>
<td>• Timeline for MOES and MOF formally agreed • New budget report guidelines develop and adopted • Report on implication of a per capita model of funding • LG budget reporting procedures changes and implements • Revised agreement on functions to be decentralized • New transparent and cross audited accountability and reporting systems approved • Detailed training on specific aspects provided.</td>
<td>• Data not readily available could delay the process • Reluctance to include line items in the budget • Comprehensive per-capita model may not achieve necessary consensus • Increased transparency of LG planned and actual spending may be viewed unfavorably by LG • Disagreement on functions that ought to be decentralized • Sufficient detailed training not provided at appropriate times</td>
<td>• Detailed breakdown and transparent budget reporting adopted by 2006 • LG budget contribution made detailed and transparent 2006 • Decentralized functions devolved by 2007 • New per capita model of funding developed and debated 2007 • Comprehensive, detailed and transparent funding and accounting system adopted by MOES 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### B.3.3 Increasing Efficiency in the sub-sector

- **Communication and information sharing**
- **Attracting Non-public funding into per university education**
- **Approving and/or amending legislations to encourage School Raising Funds**
- **Negotiating Tax Exempts for educational investment.**
- **Through Public dialogue agree on reallocation of education Funds**

#### MOF, MOES

- MOF, MOES central and regional
- LG, Communes
- Teachers and students
- Teachers and students

#### MOES, LG and community

- MOF, MOES
- Teacher and School Directors
- MOES and other sub-sectors in education

#### MOES and approved policy guidelines for supporting and managing non public funded education.

- **Agreed types and process of reporting**
- **MOES approved policy guidelines for supporting and managing non public funded education.**
- **Approved tax exemptions and approved policy guidelines for schools to raise funds**
- **Legislations adopted for redistribution of public sector funds**

#### MOES and other sub-sectors in education

- **Reluctance to share information**
- **Delays caused by govt elections and parliament procedures**
- **Lack of ability to monitor tax exempts may deter considering this option**
- **Sub-sectors unable to agree on terms of redistribution of funds.**

#### MOES and approved policy guidelines for supporting and managing non public funded education.

- **Approved tax exemptions and approved policy guidelines for schools to raise funds**
- **Legislations adopted for redistribution of public sector funds**

#### MOES and other sub-sectors in education

- **Formal agreement on what information and how it will be shared approved by 2006**
- **Revised tax policy for education investments and school fund raising approved by 2008**
- **Redistribution of public funds within the education sector agreed and approved by 2008.**

### B.3.4 Investment Programmes

#### Capital works-

- **Review and prioritize maintenance and rehabilitations**
- **Develop transparent system to monitor LG investment contributions**
- **Consider school mapping report before planning new schools**

#### Special project-

- **School based research to develop innovative practices.**

#### MOES central and regional

- MOES (finance section)
- Educational institutes and agencies
- Schools and communes
- Number of mentor/mentee agreement reached
- Number of cluster group and office networks
- Number of meeting for each of the above

#### LG, Communes

- Teachers and students
- Teachers and students

#### Teachers and students

- Minimum standard for maintenance developed and adopted
- System of monitoring and managing regular LG contribution for maintenance
- Policy guidelines and funding process approved to promote school based innovations.

#### MOES, LG and community

- MOF, MOES
- Teacher and School Directors
- MOES and other sub-sectors in education

#### MOES, LG, and Teacher and School Directors

- MOF, MOES, Teacher and School Directors
- MOES and other sub-sectors in education

#### MOES and approved policy guidelines for supporting and managing non public funded education.

- **Agreed types and process of reporting**
- **MOES approved policy guidelines for supporting and managing non public funded education.**
- **Approved tax exemptions and approved policy guidelines for schools to raise funds**
- **Legislations adopted for redistribution of public sector funds**

#### MOES and other sub-sectors in education

- **Reluctance to share information**
- **Delays caused by govt elections and parliament procedures**
- **Lack of ability to monitor tax exempts may deter considering this option**
- **Sub-sectors unable to agree on terms of redistribution of funds.**

#### MOES and approved policy guidelines for supporting and managing non public funded education.

- **Approved tax exemptions and approved policy guidelines for schools to raise funds**
- **Legislations adopted for redistribution of public sector funds**

#### MOES and other sub-sectors in education

- **Formal agreement on what information and how it will be shared approved by 2006**
- **Revised tax policy for education investments and school fund raising approved by 2008**
- **Redistribution of public funds within the education sector agreed and approved by 2008.**

### B.3.5 Mentoring and Supporting

- **Develop roles and responsibilities between mentor and mentee for supporting with new concepts and processes.**
- **Develop roles and responsibilities for cluster schools and for MOES Regional office networks**

#### MOES (finance section)

- MOES (finance section)
- Educational institutes and agencies
- Schools and communes
- Number of mentor/mentee agreement reached
- Number of cluster group and office networks
- Number of meeting for each of the above

#### Educational institutes and agencies

- MOES (finance section)
- Educational institutes and agencies
- Schools and communes
- Number of mentor/mentee agreement reached
- Number of cluster group and office networks
- Number of meeting for each of the above

#### Schools and communes

- MOES (finance section)
- Educational institutes and agencies
- Schools and communes
- Number of mentor/mentee agreement reached
- Number of cluster group and office networks
- Number of meeting for each of the above

#### Number of mentor/mentee agreement reached

- MOES (finance section)
- Educational institutes and agencies
- Schools and communes
- Number of mentor/mentee agreement reached
- Number of cluster group and office networks
- Number of meeting for each of the above

#### Number of cluster group and office networks

- MOES (finance section)
- Educational institutes and agencies
- Schools and communes
- Number of mentor/mentee agreement reached
- Number of cluster group and office networks
- Number of meeting for each of the above

#### Number of meeting for each of the above

- MOES (finance section)
- Educational institutes and agencies
- Schools and communes
- Number of mentor/mentee agreement reached
- Number of cluster group and office networks
- Number of meeting for each of the above

#### Not willing to accept mutual responsibilities for making the new finance management work.

- MOES (finance section)
- Educational institutes and agencies
- Schools and communes
- Number of mentor/mentee agreement reached
- Number of cluster group and office networks
- Number of meeting for each of the above

#### Power relationship interfering with implementation.

- MOES (finance section)
- Educational institutes and agencies
- Schools and communes
- Number of mentor/mentee agreement reached
- Number of cluster group and office networks
- Number of meeting for each of the above

#### Maintenance guidelines developed and monitors by 2006

- School mapping completed and adopted by 2010
- First round of school based innovation funded by 2006.

#### School mapping completed and adopted by 2010

- School mapping completed and adopted by 2010
- First round of school based innovation funded by 2006.

#### First round of school based innovation funded by 2006.

- School mapping completed and adopted by 2010
- First round of school based innovation funded by 2006.
### B4  CAPACITY BUILDING AND HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT – THE CONCEPTUAL SHIFT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Issues</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Beneficiaries</th>
<th>Monitoring Indicators</th>
<th>Risks &amp; Assumptions</th>
<th>Proposed Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **B.4.1 Cross Sector Service** | • Engage others sectors in planning per university education  
• Develop national ownership of the education reform  
• Develop education as a tool to support national economic and social development | • Parents and students  
• Planners in all line ministries  
• Community and economic stakeholders  
• MOES and LG | • Cross sector ministerial advisory committee established  
• Conduct cross sector debates and discussion forums | • Other sectors unwilling to engage  
• Education experts not willing to share planning with other sectors | • Guidelines for cross sector engagement approves by 2006. |
| **B.4.2 Rationalizing schools** | • Develop public consciousness that quality and quantity of schools have cost  
• Quality education may need to over-ride local government boundaries.  
• Review National and Local objectives to meet equity and access. | • MOES Central and Regional  
• School directors and teachers  
• Parents and students | • Conduct public forum to debate at national level  
• Conduct public forum to debate at local government level | • Reluctance to share with adjacent regions/Communes  
• Not appreciating the value of quality over quality | • Initial forums established in 2006  
• Provisional discussion paper developed 2007 |
| **B.4.3 Inspection vs. Facilitation** | • Educate inspectors to assist rather then control and reprimand.  
• Develop trust through the above approach to promote honesty in self-reporting | • Inspectors at Central and Regional MOES  
• Staff involved in self reporting process | • Performance profiles of inspectors  
• Feedback for school director and teachers. | • Inspectors not appreciating the need for change  
• Teachers and school director not trusting to report honesty. | • Inspectors training in 2006  
• Other training in self reporting 2006  
• Evaluate inspectors performance directly and indirectly (self reporting by others) 2007 |
### B.4.4 Professionalisation of Teachers

- Bring respectability to teaching by making it a full time profession.
- Conditions of teacher to match those of other civil servants
- Diversity teacher competency to make them multi-skilled
- Support the establishment of teachers association to share professional experiences.

- School Director and Teachers
- Students and parents
- MOES through efficiency achieved by multi-skilling
- Discussion paper of teacher job evaluation
- Legislation to include teachers as civil services
- Number of teacher networks and association for pre university education.
- Governments inability to provide civil service condition to all teachers
- Increased workload without corresponding increase in salary

- School Director and Teachers
- Students and parents
- MOES through efficiency achieved by multi-skilling
- Discussion paper of teacher job evaluation
- Legislation to include teachers as civil services
- Number of teacher networks and association for pre university education.
- Governments inability to provide civil service condition to all teachers
- Increased workload without corresponding increase in salary

### B.4.5 Curriculum Electives and Specialization

- To empower curriculum experts to map the actual knowledge and skills need and not be guided by old subjects
- Consolidate number of subject and consequently number of teachers, textbooks and classrooms needed.

- MOES and the G o A.
- IPS and the Curriculum Development Center
- Students and parents
- University specialization
- Public discussion on subject reconceptualisation
- Number of reconstituted subjects
- Number of reconstituted textbooks

- MOES and the G o A.
- IPS and the Curriculum Development Center
- Students and parents
- University specialization
- Public discussion on subject reconceptualisation
- Number of reconstituted subjects
- Number of reconstituted textbooks

- MOES and the G o A.
- IPS and the Curriculum Development Center
- Students and parents
- University specialization
- Public discussion on subject reconceptualisation
- Number of reconstituted subjects
- Number of reconstituted textbooks

- MOES and the G o A.
- IPS and the Curriculum Development Center
- Students and parents
- University specialization
- Public discussion on subject reconceptualisation
- Number of reconstituted subjects
- Number of reconstituted textbooks

### B.4.6 Research and Data Driven Policy Development

- All policy developed had to be supported and justified through good data.
- New concepts and systems need research and piloting before national adoption.

- MOES central and Regional
- PAPD and EMIS departments
- Other Educational institutions affiliated to MOES
- NGOs and INGO working in the education sector.
- Number of commission research studies
- Number of policy paper developed
- Number of NGOs and INGO projects integrated into MOESs education strategy
- Lack of capacity to undertake rigorous empirical studies
- Lack of funds to support research studies and piloting.

- MOES central and Regional
- PAPD and EMIS departments
- Other Educational institutions affiliated to MOES
- NGOs and INGO working in the education sector.
- Number of commission research studies
- Number of policy paper developed
- Number of NGOs and INGO projects integrated into MOESs education strategy
- Lack of capacity to undertake rigorous empirical studies
- Lack of funds to support research studies and piloting.

- MOES central and Regional
- PAPD and EMIS departments
- Other Educational institutions affiliated to MOES
- NGOs and INGO working in the education sector.
- Number of commission research studies
- Number of policy paper developed
- Number of NGOs and INGO projects integrated into MOESs education strategy
- Lack of capacity to undertake rigorous empirical studies
- Lack of funds to support research studies and piloting.

- MOES central and Regional
- PAPD and EMIS departments
- Other Educational institutions affiliated to MOES
- NGOs and INGO working in the education sector.
- Number of commission research studies
- Number of policy paper developed
- Number of NGOs and INGO projects integrated into MOESs education strategy
- Lack of capacity to undertake rigorous empirical studies
- Lack of funds to support research studies and piloting.
PART C

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

This section maps out the sequencing and approximate timing for the implementation of various activities noted in sections A and B, above. In accord with the adopted format for National Education Strategy each of the 4 priority areas have been dealt with separately, mapping key activities along respective timelines. It must be noted that a National Education Strategy is a macro level document and hence is not able to deal with micro level details of all activities and associated procedures. Further operational plans with details for each activity will need to be developed as specific project designs are undertaken.

The proposed schedule assumes that implementation of the strategy will begin in year 2005. If for some reason there happens to be a delay the schedule can be pushed back by a corresponding period of time. It is important to maintain the sequence of activities as many are planned for progressively upgrading.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C 1. Governance: Reforming and Strengthening management capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1.1 Reforming the Central Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing clear job roles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop minimum performance levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop incentive schemes/ high performers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedures manual for managing PBM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer PBM to Institutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer PBM to regional and school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive training to support the changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1.2 Decentralization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guidelines and functions to be decentralized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear roles and responsibilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processes and procedure for report and QA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot a vertical section of MOES management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training other regional/commune/school staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% of agreed functions decentralized nationally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% or agreed functions decentralized nationally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1.3 School Autonomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop guidelines for new roles of Directors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop new roles for PTA and school boards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop reporting and accountability processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive training for school Directors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot School Autonomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 pilot school functioning as autonomous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% of all schools as autonomous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% of all schools as autonomous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1.4 Education Management Information System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop framework for EMIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appraise and negotiate infrastructure capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify and procure necessary equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot and Train data input staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot and Train data analysis and reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMIS operating at Central &amp; Institutes level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMIS piloted in 100 schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMIS operating at 50% of regional &amp; school level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMIS operating at 100% of regional &amp; school level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C1.5 Reporting and Quality Assurance**

- Develop new indicators of quality
- Developing a reporting guidelines
- Train Supervisors & Directors in new procedures.
## C 2. Improving the Quality of Teaching and Learning Processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>C2.1 Curriculum Development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a National Curriculum Framework</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop policy guidelines to implement NCF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop primary syllabi based on the NCF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare teachers guide for new primary syllabi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot new curriculum for grades 1-9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train teachers to use the new curriculum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National implementation for new Pri. curriculum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop secondary syllabi based on the NCF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare teachers guide for new Sec. curriculum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot new curriculum for grades 10-12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train teachers to use the new Sec. curriculum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National implementation of new Sec. curriculum.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C2.2 Teacher Development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop system for teacher data on EMIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strengthen Teacher Development Agencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review &amp; enhance teacher training curriculum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review teacher employment conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review teacher in-service and PD training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop teacher accreditation and QA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C2.3 Text Book Development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop process to map new content in textbooks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link textbook demand with EMIS system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot privatization of textbook development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot cost recovery of small set of textbooks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale up privatization and cost recovery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training textbook writers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textbooks for grades 1-9 supplied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textbooks for grades 10-12 supplied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C2.4 Alternative Teaching Resources**

- Develop policy for school libraries
- Develop policy for alternative textbooks
- Develop policies for computers in schools
- Train Teachers/Directors to use alter. resources
- Procure minimum alternative resources for Sch.
- 30% of school have alternative resources

**C2.5 Examination and Monitoring**

- Strengthen NCAE capacity
- Develop clear roles and functions for NCAE
- Develop indicators and benchmarks
- Pilot 3 subjects in grades 9&11 national exams
- Pilot national monitoring processes
- Develop national exams for grades 1-9
- Develop exams for secondary education
- Develop half year monitoring report
- Train local experts
### C 3. Financing Pre-University Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>C3.1 Review composition of pre university budget</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop transparent financial model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separate each stakeholder contribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separate block grants into sectorial funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separate conditional and unconditional funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review percentage distribution in the sector</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C3.2 Instruments to Transfer Budget Funds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaggregate block grants into line items</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop per-capita funding models</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop accountability of LG funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop mechanism for decentralizing funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop monitoring &amp; accounting of funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive training at all levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C3.3 Increasing Efficiency in the sub sector</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop system for sharing finance information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attract private sector funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop legislation to encourage schools collect funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiate tax exemption for education investments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop process to engage public in education funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C3.4 Review Investment programs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prioritize refurbishment and building</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop maintenance funding model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop legislation to encourage direct investment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools to plan investment projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C3.5 Mentoring and Supporting</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train mentors to work at MOES levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train mentors to work at Regional levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train mentors to work at Regional levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### C.4 Capacity Building and Human Resource Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>C4.1 Cross Sector Service</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish cross sector advisory team</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public awareness and ownership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C4.2 Rationalizing Schools</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish process for school rationalization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop national focus for education reform</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop process to ensure equity and access</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption of school rationalization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C4.3 Inspection vs. Facilitation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop new roles as facilitators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop professionalism and trust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive training of school inspectors in new roles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C4.4 Professionalisation of Teachers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redesign Teaching as fulltime profession</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review teacher condition to match civil servants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversify teacher competencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish and support teacher Prof. associations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C4.5 Curriculum elective and specializations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop curriculum to reflect every needs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidate subjects to increase learning time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train CD experts to undertake the above task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C4.6 Research Data Driven Policy Development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop process for data driven policy making</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop capacity to use data to test new ideas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train PAPD staff in data analysis and reporting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART D
SUMMARY OF COST ESTIMATES

The cost estimates were developed on the basis of approximate units costs aggregated from previous similar activities undertaken by MOES, Institute for Curriculum Development, local NGO’s and INGO’s. While such information provided some basis to estimate costs it must be noted that these figures are still very crude. Thus the estimates provided in the summary should be treated cautiously.

The computation of costs is based on the following assumptions;

1) Foreign Technical Assistance (FTA) input is USD $800 per day. This includes travel, accommodation, Perdiem and fees.

2) Local expert input is USD150 per day. This includes travel, accommodation, Perdiem and fees.

3) Local participants will be paid a lump-sum basis a sum of USD$50 per day. The lump-sum payment will include, travel, accommodation and Perdiem.

4) Costs for room hire, rental of equipment and other incidentals associated with conducting workshops/training etc are not factored into the costing presented here. Neither is any inflationary cost or variation that may occur due to the fluctuation of the USD.

To appreciate the cost calculation process see Part E. It provides a narrative against the resource input matrices which in turn guides the process of establishing cost estimates. An aggregate cost for activities noted under each of the key areas of the national strategy is presented below:

D.1 GOVERNANCE: REFORMING AND STRENGTHENING MANAGEMENT CAPACITY

D.1.1 Reforming the Central Office..............................................288,000
D.1.2 Decentralization...............................................................282,750
D.1.3 School Autonomy.............................................................738,000
D.1.4 Educational Management Information System..................176,000
D.1.5 Reporting and Quality Assurance................................. 60,000

TOTAL ........................................................................ 1,544,750
D.2 IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF THE TEACHING AND LEARNING PROCESS

D.2.1 Curriculum Development……………………………………4,155,000
D.2.2 Teacher Development………………………………………1,683,000
D.2.3 Textbook Development……………………………………4,597,000
D.2.4 Alternative Teaching Resources……………………………1,069,000
D.2.5 Examinations and Monitoring………………………………1,274,000

TOTAL                                                                   12,778,000

D.3 FINANCING PRE-UNIVERSITY EDUCATION

D.3.1 Composition of Education Finance…………………………176,000
D.3.2 Instruments and Processes for Transfer of Funds……………150,500
D.3.3 Increasing Efficiency in the Sub-sector Funding……………63,500
D.3.4 Investment Program....................................................185,624,660
D.3.5 Mentoring and Support Systems...................................84,500

TOTAL                                                                  86,099,160

D.4 CAPACITY BUILDING AND HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT A CONCEPTUAL SHIFT

D.4.1 Education as Cross-Sector Service................................44,250
D.4.2 Rationalization of School Distribution………………………99,000
D.4.3 Inspection vs. Facilitation.........................................34,230
D.4.4 Professionalisation of Teaching....................................85,000
D.4.5 Curriculum Electives and Specializations.........................66,500
D.4.6 Research-based and Data-driven Policy Development..........139,500

TOTAL                                                                 $467,480

The estimated total cost over the ten years to implement the reforms will cost USD$ 200,889,390 over the next 10 years. It must be noted that substantial part of this sum is for capital works such as school rehabilitation.
PART E
RESOURCE INPUT MATRICES

The information in the matrices is organized in the following manner:

1. Major considerations for determining the costs are listed as heading for the various columns.
2. Many of the activities and the expected outcomes overlap thus shading is used to group activities, consulting inputs, seminar/workshop and numbers of participants etc. For example, in Appendix E 1, the FTA and Local Experts have 12 and 24 months input respectively, to undertake all tasks that are shaded. Similarly, in the same matrix, the number of people participating in the training program is grouped in 2 lots of 20 people by respective shadings.
3. The time allocated for each activity is minimum total time. How this time is distribution to achieve the objectives is to be determined when preparing detailed design of each individual activity. However, the minimum time noted is critical if sufficient level of proficiency is to be achieved. It is assumed that the proposed minimum training is necessary to begin the reform process and which will get integrated into the daily activities of MOES and supported through MOES grants as professional development for continuous improvement.
4. The resource/equipment noted in the matrix includes only the very essential items. A lack of accurate data on what was available within the sub-sector and often conflicting data made it difficult to be very precise. Nevertheless, we believe that all significant resource data that impact on the implementation of the National Education Strategy is covered.
5. The number of workshops, training seminars is also indicative only and kept to minimum requirement. The proposed numbers were decided to ensure reasonable levels of engagement from all levels and all stakeholders.
6. The numbers of participants expected to be involved in specific seminars and the departments and levels of the departments that should be represented noted in the matrix to ensure people that are directly related to performing the necessary tasks attend the training.
7. The rows aligned to each activity heading present a summary of input for each activity and under the cost estimate the total cost for that activity is noted. This amount is transferred to the cost summary in section D.
This section provides a narrative to describe the assumptions used to map and calculate the costs:

1. The need for international and local experts with specialized technical assistance is deemed critical for successful implementation of the project. The additional funding is necessary to either buy time of current staff and/or appoint new staff to work intensively on the reform activities. Previous experience in Albania and other developing countries have shown that existing MOES staffs when asked to take on additional tasks related to education reform are reluctant as it is often an overload. Such overloaded workers are unable to deliver on their TOR and put the reform activities at risk.

2. Training and Capacity building
   Minimum number of training days allocated for the activity ÷ by proposed number of workshops = days for each workshop
   Days for each workshop × by the number of participants = number of days.
   Number of days × USD50 per day = total cost for the activity

3. Rehabilitation costs
   Estimates were made on the basis of work undertaken under the WB Credit 3343-ALB which finished in 2004.

   44 schools were rehabilitated at a cost of USD$ 6,000,000 (approx)
   Average cost per school = USD$ 136,366 (approx)
   40% of schools not rehabilitated yet = 1360 schools

   Therefore, total cost for rehabilitating 1360 schools = USD$ 185,457,760 to be spread over 10 years

4. Textbook costs were provided by the textbook specialist in MOES. A unit cost of approx USD$1.00 was suggested for books in the primary grades. It includes the design, development, and publishing costs. However, in the absence of any recent costs for compulsory and secondary textbooks, the above unit cost was used to estimate the government share of funding the textbook for pre-university grades. Thus, it is important that the total costs for textbooks be treated with caution and be reviewed when more accurate unit cost data is available.
## E 1. Governance: Reforming and Strengthening management capacity - Resource input matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Number of Workshops</th>
<th># of People Involved</th>
<th>Department Responsible</th>
<th>Costs Estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1.1 Reforming the Central Office</td>
<td>9 Wshops</td>
<td>96 people</td>
<td>MOES</td>
<td>288000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing clear job roles</td>
<td>2 workshops</td>
<td>20 people</td>
<td>MOES</td>
<td>269000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop minimum performance levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop incentive schemes/ high performers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedures manual for managing PBM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer PBM to Institutes</td>
<td>2 workshops</td>
<td>36 people</td>
<td>MOES</td>
<td>9000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer PBM to Regional &amp; Schools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive training to support the changes</td>
<td>5 workshops</td>
<td>40 people</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1.2 Decentralisation</td>
<td>26 workshops</td>
<td>150 people</td>
<td>MOES</td>
<td>282,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guidelines and functions to be decentralised</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>264000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear roles and responsibilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processes and procedure for report and QA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot a vertical section of MOES management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MOES, LG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training other regional/commune/school staff</td>
<td>26 workshops</td>
<td>150 people</td>
<td>Schools, PTA</td>
<td>18,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1.3 School Autonomy</td>
<td>26 workshops</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>LG, School,</td>
<td>738,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop guidelines for new roles of Directors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>168000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop new roles for PTA and school boards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop reporting and accountability processes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive training for school Directors</td>
<td>13 workshops</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>LG, School,</td>
<td>500000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot 100 School Autonomy</td>
<td>13 workshops</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>PTA</td>
<td>70000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1.4 Education Management Information System</td>
<td>19 workshops</td>
<td>60 people</td>
<td>LG, School,</td>
<td>176,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop framework for EMIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>132000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appraise and negotiate infrastructure capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify and procure necessary equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task Description</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Workshops</td>
<td>People</td>
<td>Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot and Train data input staff</td>
<td>1 mth</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>13 wkshops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40 people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot and Train data analysis and reporting</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>6 wkshops</td>
<td>20 people</td>
<td>Regional &amp; Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMIS operating at Central &amp; Institutes level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMIS piloted in 100 schools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C1.5 Reporting and Quality Assurance</strong></td>
<td>2 mths</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td>5 weeks</td>
<td>13 wkshops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop new indicators of quality</td>
<td>2 mths</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing a reporting guidelines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LG, Regional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train Supervisors &amp; Directors in new procedures.</td>
<td>5 weeks</td>
<td>13 wkshops</td>
<td>100 people</td>
<td>Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**E 2. Improving the Quality of Teaching and Learning Processes - Resource input matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Foreign T/A</th>
<th>Local Experts</th>
<th>Training Programs</th>
<th>Resources &amp; Equipment</th>
<th>Number of Workshops</th>
<th># of People Involved</th>
<th>Department Responsible</th>
<th>Costs Estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>E2.1 Curriculum Development</strong></td>
<td>9 mths</td>
<td>18 mths</td>
<td>14 weeks</td>
<td>30 workshops</td>
<td>20020</td>
<td>4,155,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a National Curriculum Framework</td>
<td>3 mths</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>4 workshops</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>MOES/CDU</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop policy guidelines to implement NCF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop Compulsory syllabi based on the NCF</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td>30000 syll.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare teachers guide for new primary syllabi</td>
<td>3 mths</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot new curriculum for Grades 1-8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train teachers to use the new curriculum</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>13 workshops</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>MOES/TA</td>
<td>2500000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National implementation for new Comp. curriculum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop secondary syllabi based on the NCF</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td>10000 syll.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare teachers guide for new Sec. curriculum</td>
<td>3 mths</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot new curriculum for Grades 9-11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train teachers to use the new Sec. curriculum</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>13 workshops</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>CDU/TA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National implementation of new Sec. curriculum.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E2.2 Teacher Development</strong></td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td>24 mths</td>
<td>4.5 weeks</td>
<td>19 workshops</td>
<td>40220</td>
<td>1,683,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop system for teacher data on EMIS</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td>12 mths</td>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>1 workshops</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>TDA, MOES</td>
<td>132000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strengthen Teacher Development Agency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review &amp; enhance teacher training curriculum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review teacher employment conditions</td>
<td>12 mths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review teacher in-service and PD training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop teacher accreditation and QA</td>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>5 workshops</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>LG and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train teachers in new teaching methods</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>13 workshops</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E2.3 Text Book Development</strong></td>
<td>6.5 mths</td>
<td>18 mths</td>
<td>1.5 weeks</td>
<td>6 workshops</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>4,597,600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop process to map new content in textbooks</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td>18 mths</td>
<td>.5 weeks</td>
<td>1 workshops</td>
<td>20 people</td>
<td>CDU</td>
<td>152000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link textbook demands with EMIS system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot privatisation of textbook development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot cost recovery of small set of textbooks</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>5 workshops 100 people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale up privatisation and cost recovery</td>
<td></td>
<td>CDU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training textbook writers</td>
<td>.5 mths</td>
<td>2 workshops 50 people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textbooks for grades 1-9 supplied</td>
<td>1.5 weeks</td>
<td>4,068,000 copies @ $1.00 each</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textbooks for grades 10-12 30 % subsidy</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,322,000 copies @ $1.00 each (take 30% subsidy)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2.4 Alternative Teaching Resources</td>
<td>1 mth</td>
<td>1 mth 1.5 mths 5 week 5 workshops 200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop policy for school libraries</td>
<td>1 mth</td>
<td>1 mth 5 workshops 200 people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop policies for computers in schools</td>
<td></td>
<td>1020 school $1000 per school</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2.5 Examination and Monitoring</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td>18 mths 2 weeks 2 workshops 200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strengthen NCAE capacity</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td>18 mths 12 subjects x 63,500 students</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop indicators and benchmarks</td>
<td></td>
<td>762,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop exams for grade 9 national exams *</td>
<td></td>
<td>11 subjects X 32,500 students</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop exams for grade 12 national exams *</td>
<td></td>
<td>357,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop exams for secondary grades</td>
<td></td>
<td>5000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train local experts</td>
<td>2 week</td>
<td>2 workshops 200 people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Timeframe</td>
<td>People Involved</td>
<td>Department Responsible</td>
<td>Costs Estimates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3.1 Review composition of pre university budget</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td>260 people</td>
<td>MOES, MOF</td>
<td>176000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop transparent Financial model</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td></td>
<td>LG</td>
<td>150000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separate each stakeholder contribution</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>260 people</td>
<td>LG</td>
<td>26000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separate block grants into sectorial funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separate conditional and unconditional funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review percentage distribution in the sector</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3.2 Instruments to Transfer Budget Funds</td>
<td>4 mths</td>
<td>260 people</td>
<td>MOES, MOF</td>
<td>150500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaggregate block grants into line items</td>
<td>4 mths</td>
<td></td>
<td>LG</td>
<td>118000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop per-capita funding models</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop accountability of LG funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop mechanism for decentralising funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop monitoring &amp; accounting of funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive training at all levels</td>
<td>6 wks</td>
<td>260 people</td>
<td></td>
<td>32500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3.3 Increasing Efficiency in the sub sector</td>
<td>2 mths</td>
<td>180 people</td>
<td>LG, Private, schools</td>
<td>63500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop system for sharing finance information</td>
<td>2 mths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attract private sector funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop legislations for schools to collect funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiate tax exemption for education investments</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop process to engage public in education funding</td>
<td>1.5 wks</td>
<td>180 people</td>
<td>LG, Private, schools</td>
<td>13500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3.4 Review Investment programs</td>
<td>4 mths</td>
<td>217</td>
<td></td>
<td>185 624 660</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prioritise and plan refurbishment and new constr.</td>
<td>3 mths</td>
<td>24 mths</td>
<td></td>
<td>120000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop maintenance funding model</td>
<td>1 wks</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>LG &amp; MOES, companies</td>
<td>8200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop legislation to encourage direct investment</td>
<td>1 mths</td>
<td>6 workshops</td>
<td>LG and private, companies</td>
<td>33500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools to plan investment projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training in planning refurbishments</td>
<td>1.6 wks</td>
<td>4 workshops</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>5200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3.5 Mentoring and Supporting</td>
<td>1 mths</td>
<td>12 mths</td>
<td>4 wks</td>
<td>5 workshop</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>84500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train mentors to work at MOES levels</td>
<td>1 mths</td>
<td>12 mths</td>
<td>1 wk</td>
<td>2 workshop</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>MOES</td>
<td>57000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train mentors to work at Regional/LG levels</td>
<td>2 wk</td>
<td>2 workshop</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>REG &amp; LG</td>
<td>17500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train mentors to work at school levels</td>
<td>1 wk</td>
<td>1 workshop</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Foreign T/A</td>
<td>Local Experts</td>
<td>Training Programs</td>
<td>Resources &amp; Equipment</td>
<td>Number of workshops</td>
<td># of People Involved</td>
<td>Department Responsible</td>
<td>Costs Estimates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4.1 Cross Sector Service</td>
<td>1mth</td>
<td>9 mths</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>secretariat</td>
<td>6 wkshops</td>
<td>15 people</td>
<td>MOES</td>
<td>44250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish cross sector advisory team</td>
<td>1mth</td>
<td>3 mths</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>secretariat</td>
<td>6 wkshops</td>
<td>15 people</td>
<td>MOES</td>
<td>26250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public awareness and ownership</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 mth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4.2 Rationalising Schools</td>
<td>2mth</td>
<td>18 mths</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td>26 wkshops</td>
<td>13 people</td>
<td>MOES &amp; LG</td>
<td>99000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish process for school rationalisation</td>
<td>1mth</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td></td>
<td>office space</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop national focus for education reform</td>
<td>1mth</td>
<td>12 mths</td>
<td></td>
<td>equipments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>52000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop process to ensure equity and access</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Media broadcast</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption of school rationalisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26 people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder workshops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>26 wkshops</td>
<td></td>
<td>MOES &amp; LG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4.3 Inspection vs Facilitation</td>
<td>1mth</td>
<td>3 mths</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td>13 wkshops</td>
<td>120 people</td>
<td>MOES &amp; LG</td>
<td>34230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop new roles as facilitators</td>
<td>1mth</td>
<td>3 mths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop professionalism and trust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train school inspectors in new roles</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>13 wkshops</td>
<td>120 people</td>
<td></td>
<td>MOES, LG</td>
<td>9230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4.4 Professionalisation of Teachers</td>
<td>2 mths</td>
<td>12 mths</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 wkshops</td>
<td>170 people</td>
<td>MOES, LG, Sch Directors</td>
<td>85000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redesign Teaching as fulltime profession</td>
<td>2 mths</td>
<td>12 mths</td>
<td>1.6 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 wkshops</td>
<td>20 people</td>
<td>MOES, MOF</td>
<td>70000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review teacher condition to match civil servants</td>
<td>1.6 weeks</td>
<td>4 wkshops</td>
<td>20 people</td>
<td>LG, Teachers</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversify teacher competencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish and support teacher prof associations</td>
<td>0.8 weeks</td>
<td>2 wkshops</td>
<td>100 people</td>
<td>Teachers</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4.5 Curriculum elective and specialisations</td>
<td>1 mth</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 wkshops</td>
<td>230 people</td>
<td>CD, Inspector Teac &amp; Direc</td>
<td>66500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop curriculum to reflect every needs</td>
<td>1 mth</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>Internet access</td>
<td>2 wkshops</td>
<td>15 people</td>
<td></td>
<td>41500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidate subjects to increase learning time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>new models</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15 people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train CD experts to undertake the above task</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>13 wkshops</td>
<td>200 people</td>
<td>CD, Inspector Teac &amp; Direc</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4.6 Research Data Driven Policy Development</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td>12 mths</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td>13 wkshops</td>
<td>50 people</td>
<td></td>
<td>139500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td>12 mths</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>132000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop process for data driven policy making</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>computers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop capacity to use data to test new ideas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>stat software</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train staff in data analysis and reporting</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td>10wkshops 50 people</td>
<td>MOES Central &amp; Regional 7500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>