How does a CSP policy fit into the FFF?

- FFF will determine the funding modalities that financing is needed for
- What are the specific objectives under the FFF for accepting targeted financing?
- What outcomes does the Partnership seek to avoid?
- What does this imply about the guiding principles for the CSP and balance of risk versus reward?
Where does GPE stand today?

GPE Board of Directors has agreed GPE can take financing as:

• Unrestricted contribution to pooled GPE Fund
• Notional targeted financing for Knowledge & Good Practice Exchange Initiatives

Agreed in December 2015 to enable “a more differentiated approach to GPE funding...to provide opportunities to mobilize additional financing from a wider range of sources”
Principles of targeted financing (to date)

1. Align with the GPE2020 Strategic Plan and the GPE Charter

2. Be delivered in such a way that it does not unduly increase transaction costs or allow the contributor exert undue influence on the Secretariat, Trustee, Grant Agents, and Implementers

3. Not be in place of unrestricted financial contributions to the GPE Fund
CSP Survey Results
Process and Participation

- CSP Framing Note and Survey was developed through the CSP sub-group of SFWG
- Partnership-wide online survey opened for 3 weeks in October and November 2016
- Total of 41 survey respondents
- Total of 108 organizations and local partners, including LEGs and national education coalitions consulted
- Survey results highlight input from GPE constituencies based on CSP Framing Note
- Further consultation in December and January to elaborate the CSP by the February Board Meeting
Survey Respondents – By Constituency

- Donor Partner: 35%
- DCP: 20%
- Civil Society - North: 8%
- Civil Society - South: 5%
- Philanthropic Foundation: 5%
- Private Sector: 3%
- Multilateral Agency/Regional Bank: 3%
- Coordinating Agency/Development Partner: 10%
- GPE Secretariat: 5%
Survey Respondents – GPE Board

- Board Member/Alternate: 43%
- Non-Board Member: 57%
Risks of targeting financing

- Mission/Partnership Distortion
- Financing Substitution
- Administrative Burden

Do you agree these are the main risks facing GPE from allowing increased targeting of financial contribution?

- Very much agree
- Somewhat agree
- Somewhat disagree

globalpartnership.org
Do you agree with the proposed areas of focus for developing safeguards to targeted financing?

- Mission/Partnership Distortion
- Financing Substitution
- Administrative Burden

70% YES
30% NO
Type of mechanisms suitable for targeting

**Type A:** Education sector development & strengthening

**Type B:** Reinforcing the systems strengthening process
Types of Targeting

Types of targeting:
1. Geographic
2. Thematic
3. By Type of Financing Instrument or Funding Mechanism
If you are an existing financial contributor, would a greater ability to provide targeting financing affect your decisions on contributing?

- No, unrestricted contributions remain most attractive to my institution/agency/government: 21%
- No, financing modalities have no impact on my decision to support GPE: 8%
- Yes, and I would consider contributions to particular areas in addition to an unrestricted contribution to the GPE Fund: 14%
- Yes, and I would consider contributions to particular areas in place of an unrestricted contribution to the GPE Fund: 5%
Do you think it is appropriate to continue to differentiate by the following three categories of organizations?

- **Existing bilateral (sovereign donors)**
- **New bilateral (sovereign donors)**
- **Philanthropic Foundations**

![Pie chart showing the distribution of responses]

- **YES** 48%
- **NO** 35%
- **Skipped Question** 17%

---

**globalpartnership.org**
Should GPE allow a transition period for new bilateral (sovereign) donors in which they are allowed to provide more targeted contributions than existing bilateral (sovereign) donors?

- Yes, they should be allowed a transition period to provide a greater proportion of their support as targeted contributions, to be phased out over time: 37%
- No, they must immediately follow any overall policy for targeted contributions for existing bilateral (sovereign) donors on joining GPE: 46%
- Skipped Question: 17%

[globalpartnership.org]
Do you agree that any additional targeted financial contributions should continue to be received on a notional basis to the GPE Fund?

- YES: 77%
- NO: 23%
Key takeaways – what does this mean?

1. Strong consensus that further targeted funding is appropriate, accompanied by stronger Safeguards

2. Majority support for thematic targeting

3. CSP is compatible with the overarching elements of the FFF
Next Steps on CSP Development

Further Consultations:
1. Individual audio calls with members of the board and constituencies in December and January
2. Webinars in English, French and Spanish in January

Draft Contributions and Safeguards Policy delivered for consideration at the February Board Meeting